

# PROBLEM IN LIFE TESTING WITH CHANGING FAILURE RATE

TEJ NARAIN SRIVASTAVA

Defence Science Laboratory, Delhi

(Received 11 June 66; Revised 29 Sept. 66)

In life test experiments, a situation may arise when the items are put on test at different times and at one particular instant; we know only the time of failure or the time since it is on test if it has not failed upto that instant. It has been assumed that the probability density function of the life of an item and hence its failure rate changes after an item is on test for certain time. The method of maximum likelihood has been used to estimate the failure rates in the case when the life of an item follows the exponential distribution.

Bartholomew<sup>1</sup> has discussed the estimation of mean life of an item in a life test experiment when the items are placed on test at different times so that at one particular instant either the life of an item is known (if it has already failed) or the time that has elapsed since the item was placed on test, is known. He assumed that the life time distribution of an item remains the same throughout the experiment. However, in services certain stores and equipments are subjected to regular check up even though they are functioning normally. When such items are placed on life test, after sometime the items that have not failed are checked up and overhauled repairing the minor defects. This, naturally, changes the life time distribution of the items and consequently the failure rate also changes. In this paper it has been assumed that this change takes place only once before the test is terminated (after certain stipulated time, recorded from the beginning of the experiment). The case where several changes take place is being studied.

Let  $n$  items be placed on test at different times, the life time of each following the exponential distribution with failure rate  $\lambda_1$ . The failure rate is defined as the probability of instantaneous failure of the item at a time provided the item has not failed upto that time. If  $f(t)$  represents the probability density function of the life of an item and  $F(t)$  represents the distribution function then failure rate is given by<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}$$

It can be easily seen that the failure rate is constant in the case of exponential distribution.

After certain, known time  $T_0$ , let the life time of each item follow the exponential distribution with failure rate  $\lambda_2$  and let the test be finally terminated at known time  $T_1$ . Then the composite probability density function  $p(t)$  and distribution function  $P(t)$  are given by<sup>3</sup>

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} p_1(t) = \lambda_1 \exp(-\lambda_1 t) \\ p_2(t) = \lambda_2 \exp[-\lambda_1 T_0 - \lambda_2(t - T_0)] \end{cases}$$

$$Q(t) = 1 - P(t) = \begin{cases} P_1(t) = \exp(-\lambda_1 t) \\ P_2(t) = \exp[-\lambda_2 t - (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) T_0] \end{cases}$$

LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION

During the experiment, at any particular instant  $t > T_o$ , let the time that has elapsed since the  $i$ th item was placed on test be  $T_i$  and let the life of this item be  $t_i$ , which will be known only if  $t_i \leq T_i$ . Any given sample will, therefore, consist of the quantities

$$T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$$

and a certain number of completed lives. The completed lives could be before time  $T_o$  or after time  $T_o$ . However, it is assumed that

$$\text{Min}_{\Sigma} \{ T_i \} > T_o$$

Then the likelihood function of the sample is

$$P(S) = \text{Const} \prod_{i=1}^n \{ p_1 ( t_i ) \}^{a_i} \left[ \frac{1-a'_i}{Q_2 \cdot i ( T_i )} \{ p_2 ( t_i ) \}^{a'_i} \right]^{1-a_i}$$

where

- $a_i = 1$ , if the item placed time  $T_i$  ago has failed by time  $T_o$ ,
- $= 0$ , if the item placed time  $T_i$  ago has not failed by time  $T_o$ .
- $a'_i = 1$ , if the item placed time  $T_i$  ago has failed after time  $T_o$ .
- $= 0$ , if the item placed time  $T_i$  ago has not failed.

ESTIMATES FOR  $\lambda_1$  AND  $\lambda_2$

Taking the logarithm of the likelihood function and simplifying we get

$$\log P(S) = L \text{ (say)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ a_i ( \log e - \lambda_1 t_i ) + (1-a_i) \left\{ (1-a_i) ( -\lambda_2 T_i - \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 T_o}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 T_o} ) + a_i ( \log \lambda_2 - \lambda_2 t_i - T_o - \lambda_1 T_o ) \right\} \right]$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\lambda_1$  and equating to zero we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ a_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - t_i \right) + (1-a_i) ( - T_o ) \right\} = 0$$

which gives the estimate for  $\lambda_1$  as

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i t_i + T_o \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a_i)}$$

Differentiating  $\log P(S)$  with respect to  $\lambda_2$  and equating to zero we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ (1 - a_i) (1 - \hat{a}_i) (-T_i + T_0) + (1 - a_i) \hat{a}_i \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - t_i + T_0 \right) \right\} = 0$$

which gives the estimate for  $\lambda_2$  as

$$\hat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{a}_i (1 - a_i)}{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - a_i) (1 - \hat{a}_i) T_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - a_i) \hat{a}_i t_i - T_0 \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - a_i) \right\}}$$

It can be easily seen that if  $T_0 = 0$  which implies that  $a_i = 0$  our estimate is the same as obtained by Bartholomew<sup>1</sup>. The asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of  $\hat{\lambda}_1$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_2$  is given as

$$\begin{Bmatrix} -E \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda_1^2} \right) & -E \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} \right) \\ -E \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda_1 \partial \lambda_2} \right) & -E \left( \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \lambda_2^2} \right) \end{Bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{Bmatrix} V(\hat{\lambda}_1) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) & V(\hat{\lambda}_2) \end{Bmatrix}$$

Hence it can be easily seen that

$$V(\hat{\lambda}_1) = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{n P_1(T_0)}$$

$$V(\hat{\lambda}_2) = \frac{\lambda_2^2}{Q_1(T_0) \sum_{i=1}^n P_2(T_i)}$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2) = 0$$

#### BIAS OF THE ESTIMATES

Instead of considering the bias of estimates  $\hat{\lambda}_1$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_2$  the bias of  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$  and  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_2}$  is separately considered.

$$\text{Bias of } \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$$

Let  $K$  denotes the number of items that have failed by time  $T_0$ , so that

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

Now

$$\text{Cov.} \left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) = E \left( \frac{K}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) - E(K) \cdot E \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right)$$

Therefore

$$E \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) = \frac{E \left( \frac{K}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right)}{E(K)} - \frac{\text{Cov.} \left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right)}{E(K)}$$

Now  $\frac{K}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$  may be regarded as the sum of  $n$  variates each coming from the population :

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \lambda_1 \exp. (-\lambda_1 t); \quad 0 \leq t < T_0 \\ &= \exp. (-\lambda_1 T_0); \quad t = T_0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E(t) = \int_0^{T_0} t g(t) dt = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} P_1(T_0)$$

and therefore,

$$E \left( \frac{K}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) = \frac{n P_1(T_0)}{\lambda_1}$$

Moreover, since  $E(K) = n P_1(T_0)$ , we get

$$E \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{\text{Cov.} \left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right)}{n P_1(T_0)}$$

Now, it can be, intuitively, seen that  $\text{Cov.} \left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right)$  will always be negative and hence

it can be concluded that  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$  will always overestimate  $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}$  and the bias of estimate  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$  is

$$\left| \frac{\text{Cov.} \left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right)}{n P_1(T_0)} \right|$$

By using the inequality

$$\left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) \leq \left\{ \text{Var.} (K) \cdot \text{Var.} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

it can be easily shown that

$$\text{Cov.} \left( K, \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1}$$

and hence, for the magnitude of the bias of estimate  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1}$  we get

$$\left| E \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n \lambda_1 P_1 (T_0)}$$

Bias of  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_2}$

Let  $K'$  denote the number of items that failed after time  $T_0$  but before time  $T_i$  so that

$$K' = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - a_i) a'_i \text{ and } E(K') = \sum_{i=1}^n P_2 (T_i). \text{ In this case } \left( \frac{K'}{\hat{\lambda}_2} \right)$$

may be regarded as the sum of  $n$  variates each coming from the population :

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \lambda_2 \exp. \left[ -\lambda_1 T_0 - \lambda_2 (t - T_0) \right]; T_0 < t < T_i \\ &= \exp. \left[ -\lambda_1 T_0 - \lambda_2 (T_i - T_0) \right]; t = T_i \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$E(t) = \int_{T_0}^{T_i} t h(t) dt = \frac{P_2 (T_i)}{\lambda_2} + T_0 Q_1 (T_0) - \frac{P_0 (T_0)}{\lambda_2}$$

and

$$E \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_2} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + h. \frac{T_0 Q_1 (T_0) - \frac{P_1 (T_0)}{\lambda_2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n P_2 (T_i)} - \frac{\text{Cov.} \left( K', \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_2} \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P_2 (T_i)}$$

Hence for the magnitude of the bias of the estimate  $\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_2}$  we get

$$\left| \left( \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_2} \right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right| \leq h \frac{\left| T_0 Q_1(T_0) - \frac{P_1(T_0)}{\lambda_2} \right|}{\sum_{i=1}^n P_2(T_i)} + \frac{1}{K \sum_{i=1}^n P_2(T_i)}$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My sincere thanks are due to Dr. N.K. Chakravarti, Assistant Director, and to Dr. A.M. Kshirsagar, Defence Science Laboratory, for their constant help and encouragement. Thanks are also due to Dr. Kartar Singh, Director, Defence Science Laboratory for his permission to publish this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. BARTHOLOMEW, D. J., A problem in Life Testing, *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.*, **52** (1957).
2. SRIVASTAVA, R. N., *Def. Sci. J* (1967), 1
3. COX, D.R., 'Renewal Theory, (Methuen and Co. Ltd., London) 1962.