

A NOTE ON ATTRIBUTE LIFE-TESTING

P. V. KRISHNA IYER & S. K. BHATTACHARYA

Defence Science Laboratory, Delhi

We shall consider an attribute life-testing problem with replacement. The term 'attribute life-testing' refers to situation where the actual life of the failed items are not known but only the number of failures in given interval of time is available. In earlier papers¹⁻³ life-testing problems of such nature for the non-replacement case have been treated. As discussed by Bhattacharya³, we assume that N_i items were installed in a particular department designated by i , out of which n_i has failed within a period T_i , i varying from 1, 2, k . We further assume that an item, as soon as it fails is immediately replaced by a new one. This is a more realistic situation because in practice a failed item has to be replaced. It is assumed that the new items come from the same original population with life-time distribution specified by the probability density function $f(t, \theta)$, where $t (> 0)$ denotes the life-time of an item measured from the origin and θ is single or vector valued parameter to be estimated from the available information.

Consider a particular item from this population which is placed on a life test at $t=0$. As soon as it fails it is immediately replaced by a new item from the same population, this process being continued for successive failures. We wish to calculate the probability $P_s(T)$ of exactly S failures for this item after a time T . If we suppose that the S failures have taken place at times $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_s$ respectively, such that $0 \leq t_1, \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_s \leq T$, then the time between each renewal and next failure is governed by the same probability law $f(t, \theta)$. Hence under the assumption that successive failures are statistically independent, we have

$$P_s(T) = \int_{dt_1} \dots \int_{dt_s} f(t_1) f(t_2 - t_1) \dots f(t_s - t_{s-1}) F_c(T - t_s) \tag{1}$$

where $f(t)$ has been used instead of $f(t, \theta)$ for brevity,

$$F_c(t) = 1 - \int_0^t f(x) dx,$$

and the integration is to be carried over the region

$$0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_s \leq T.$$

Let us evaluate this integral when the probability law of life-time is a gamma distribution given by

$$f(t) = \frac{t^{p-1} \text{Exp} \{ -t/x \}}{\alpha^p \Gamma(p)}, \quad t > 0, p > 0, \alpha > 0, \tag{2}$$

So that

$$F_c(t) = \frac{\Gamma(p, t/\alpha)}{\Gamma(p)}, \quad (3)$$

where
$$\Gamma(px) = \int_x^\infty e^{-t} t^{p-1} dt.$$

We shall also use the notations

$$\gamma(p, x) = \Gamma(p) - \Gamma(px),$$

and
$$I_p(x) = \frac{\gamma(p, x)}{\Gamma(p)}$$

The integral given by (1) is merely a S-fold convolution $f * f * \dots * f * F_c$, where f appears S times and

$$g * h = \int_0^x g(x-t)h(t) dt$$

Since the Laplace transforms multiply under convolution, we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ZT} P_s(T) dT = \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-ZT} f(t) dt \right\}^S \cdot \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-ZT} F_c(t) dt \right\}, \text{ where } (4)$$

the existence of the transforms holds good under usual conditions. The first integral on the right hand side of (4) is found to be $(1+\alpha Z)^{-p}$, whereas for the second member, after substituting for $F_c(t)$ from (3), the Laplace transform turns out to be (c.f. [4], formula 30, pp. 178).

$$Z^{-1} \left[1 - (1 + \alpha Z)^{-p} \right], \text{ provided } p > -1$$

and
$$Re Z > -Re \alpha^{-1}$$

Hence
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ZT} P_s(T) dT = Z^{-1} (1 + \alpha Z)^{-sp} \left[1 - (1 + \alpha Z)^{-p} \right]$$

We now simply apply the Complex inversion for the Laplace transforms to get (c.f. [4], formula 3, pp. 238)

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_s(T) &= \frac{\gamma(sp, T/\alpha)}{\Gamma(sp)} - \frac{\gamma(s+1, p, T/\alpha)}{\Gamma(s+1, p)} \\
 &= I_{sp}(T/\alpha) - I_{(s+1)p}(T/\alpha)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this probability involves the incomplete gamma function ratio, it is futile to make an attempt for a direct solution of the maximum likelihood equations for p and α . However the case $p=1$ leads to a simple straightforward solution. In this case the life-time distribution is exponential which appears very frequently in the field of life-testing. For $p=1$, $P_s(T)$ reduces to

$$\frac{T^s}{s! \alpha^s} \text{Exp} \{ -T/\alpha \}, \text{ so that the probability } {}_N P_n(T) \text{ of exactly } n$$

failures in time T for the replacement case, if N items are simultaneously placed on test, is easily seen, by considering the generating function of $P_s(T)$, to be $\frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{NT}{\alpha} \right)^n \text{Exp} \left\{ -\frac{NT}{\alpha} \right\}$, which is even otherwise a direct consequence of Poisson's distribution. Using this result, the likelihood function of observations specified in the very beginning is

$$l = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i!} \left(\frac{N_i T_i}{\alpha} \right)^{n_i} \text{Exp} \left\{ -\frac{N_i T_i}{\alpha} \right\}, \text{ so}$$

that $\frac{d \log l}{d \alpha} = 0$ gives $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k N_i T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}$, which is an estimate of the average

life as discussed earlier¹.

It can also be easily seen that

$$E \left\{ -\frac{d^2 \log l}{d \alpha^2} \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{N_i T_i}{\alpha^3}, \text{ so}$$

that the asymptotic variance of the estimate is

$$V(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha^3}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k N_i T_i \right)}. \text{ Hence an estimate for the standard error of the estimate } \hat{\alpha}$$

may be calculated from

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k N_i T_i \right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \right)^2}$$

REFERENCES

1. Iyer, P.V.K., & Bhattacharya, M.N., *Def. Sci. J.*, **11** (1961), 229.
2. Ehrenfeld, S., Some Experimental Design Problems in Attribute Life-Testing, *J. Amer. Statist. Ass.* (1962)
3. Bhattacharya, S.K., On a Probit Analogue Used in a Life-Test Based on the Weibull Distribution, *Aust. J. Statist.* **4** (No. 3) (1962), 101.
4. Erdelyi, A., "Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. 1, Bateman Manuscript Project" (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York), 1954.