

# EFFECT OF ROTATION AND A MAGNETIC FIELD ON RAYLEIGH-TAYLOR STABILITY

P.K. KHOSLA

Defence Science Laboratory, Delhi

## A B S T R A C T

In this paper the hydromagnetic stability of an incompressible fluid of variable density in the presence of magnetic field as well as rotation is considered. The magnetic field is taken along axis of rotation and stratified in the direction. A variational principle for the problem under consideration has been established. Two density configurations viz. (i) density varies continuously with height and (ii) two superposed fluids of great depths have been studied in detail.

## I N T R O D U C T I O N

A NUMBER of papers on Rayleigh-Taylor Instability in the presence of magnetic field have appeared during past few years. They have been discussed by Chandrasekhar<sup>1</sup>. Nearly all of them referred to homogeneous magnetic fields taken in different directions. Talwar<sup>2</sup> has studied this problem for variable magnetic fields. The purpose of the present paper is to bring out the new facts when a Coriolis Force is also acting. The problem has been studied in 4 parts. In the first section the basic equations governing the problem at hand are derived. Then a variation principle is established and further it is shown that if coriolis force and magnetic field both act in the horizontal direction the validity of the principle imposes a condition which separates the hydrodynamics from electro-magnetism. In the 3rd part the stability of a continuously stratified fluid has been discussed. The last section deals with the stability of two superposed fluids. In this connection it may be pointed out that remarks made by Reid<sup>3</sup> do not any way point to reduce the effectiveness of the variational procedure in the present case. Also, in order to avoid the mathematical complications the fluid is assumed to be inviscid, incompressible and of infinite conductivity.

## B A S I C E Q U A T I O N S

Consider a layer of conducting fluid confined between two horizontal planes. This is subjected to a magnetic field  $[H_0(z), 0, 0]$  and rotation  $\Omega \hat{v}$ . The equations of the problem are, then

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_i u_j}{\partial x_j} \right] = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( P + \frac{1}{2} |\Omega \times r|^2 \right) - g \rho \lambda_i + 2 \rho \Omega \epsilon_{ijk} u_j v_k + \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \left[ \text{curl } \vec{H} \times \vec{H} \right]_i \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + v_j \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad \dots \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} = \text{curl} (\vec{u} \times \vec{H}) \quad \dots \quad (4)$$

$$\text{and } \text{div } \vec{H} = 0 \quad \dots \quad (5)$$



where  $k_x, k_y$  are horizontal wave numbers of the perturbation. Equations (8)–(10) then become

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_0 n u - 2 \Omega \rho_0 v &= -i k_x \delta p + \frac{\mu}{4\pi} h_x D H_0 & (i) \\ \rho_0 n v + 2 \Omega \rho_0 u &= -i k_y \delta p + \frac{\mu}{4\pi} H_0 (i k_x h_y - i k_y h_x) & (ii) \\ \rho_0 n w &= -D \delta p - g \delta \rho + \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \left[ H_0 (i k_x h_x - D h_x) - h_x D H_0 \right] & (iii) \end{aligned} \right\} (11)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} i k_x u + i k_y v &= -D w \\ n \delta \rho + w D \rho_0 &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (12)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} n h_x + w D H_0 &= H_0 i k_x u \quad (i); \quad n h_y = H_0 i k_x v \quad (ii); \\ n h_x &= H_0 i k_x w \quad (iii); \quad i k_x h_x + i k_y h_y + D h_x = 0 \quad (iv) \end{aligned} \right\} (13)$$

where  $D = d/dz$

Let  $\omega$  be the vorticity vector and  $\zeta(z)$  denote its  $z$ -components. So that

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = i k_x v - i k_y u \dots \dots \dots (14)$$

From equation (8)

$$i k_x h_y - i k_y h_x = \frac{1}{n} \left[ H_0 i k_x \zeta + i k_y w D H_0 \right] \dots \dots \dots (15)$$

Eliminating  $u$  and  $v$  from equations (11-i, ii) with the help of equations (12-i), (14) and (15), we obtain.

$$-\rho_0 n D w - 2 \Omega \rho_0 \zeta = \delta p k^2 + \frac{\mu}{4\pi n} \left[ -k^2 w H_0 D H_0 - k_x k_y H_0^2 \zeta \right] \dots \dots \dots (16)$$

where  $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$

also from equation (11-iii) we can write after simple Algebra

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 [D(\delta p) + g \delta \rho + \rho_0 n w] &= \frac{\mu}{4\pi n} [2 k_x^2 H_0 D H_0 D w + k_x^2 H_0^2 D^2 w \\ &+ 2 k_x k_y H_0 \zeta D H_0 + k_x k_y H_0^2 D \zeta - k^2 k_x^2 H_0^2 w \\ &+ k^2 H_0 D H_0 D w + k^2 w H_0 D^2 H_0 + k^2 w (D H_0)^2] \dots \dots \dots (17) \end{aligned}$$

operating with  $D$  on both sides of (16), subtracting from (17) and utilizing (12-ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 \left( \rho_0 n w - \frac{g}{n} D \rho_0 w \right) - n D(\rho_0 D w) - 2 \Omega D(\rho_0 \zeta) \\ = \frac{\mu k^2}{4\pi n} [D H_0^2 D w + H_0^2 (D^2 - k^2) w] \dots \dots \dots (18) \end{aligned}$$

Also eliminating  $\delta p$  from (11-i), and (11-ii) we get

$$\rho_0 n \zeta - 2 \Omega \rho_0 D w = -\frac{\mu}{4\pi n} k_x^2 H_0^2 \zeta \dots \dots \dots (19)$$

## Boundary Conditions

The normal component of  $\vec{u}$  (i.e.  $w$ ) must vanish on the bounding surfaces. Thus

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} (i) & w = 0 \quad \text{for } z = 0, d \\ (ii) & \zeta = Dw = 0 \quad \text{on a rigid surface} \\ (iii) & D\zeta = D^2w = 0 \quad \text{on a free surface} \end{array} \right\} \dots \dots (20)$$

Equations (18), (19) together with the boundary conditions (20) constitute the basic equations of the problem at hand. In the next section we shall establish a variational principle.

## A VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

Let there be solutions  $w_i, w_j$  which, respectively correspond to the two characteristic values  $n_i$  and  $n_j$  of equations (18) and (19).  $\zeta_i$  and  $\zeta_j$  are also associated respectively with  $n_i$  and  $n_j$ . Multiply equation (18) by  $w_j$  (corresponding to  $n_j$ ) and integrating over the range  $0 \leq z \leq d$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_i^2 \left[ k^2 \int_0^d \rho_0 w_i w_j - \int_0^d w_j D(\rho_0 Dw_i) \right] - n_i \left[ 2\Omega \int_0^d w_j D(\rho_0 \zeta_i) \right] \\ = gk^2 \int_0^d D\rho_0 w_i w_j + \frac{\mu k^2}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^d (DH_0^2 w_j Dw_i + H_0^2 w_j D^2 w_i) - k^2 \int_0^d H_0^2 w_i w_j \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Integration by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} n_i^2 \int_0^d \left[ \rho_0 (Dw_i Dw_j + k^2 w_i w_j) \right] + \frac{\mu k^2}{4\pi} \int_0^d \left[ H_0^2 (Dw_i Dw_j + k^2 w_i w_j) \right] \\ + 2\Omega n_i \int_0^d \rho_0 \zeta_i Dw_j - gk^2 \int_0^d D\rho_0 w_i w_j = 0 \quad \dots \dots (22) \end{aligned}$$

Multiply equation (19) for  $n_j$  by  $\zeta_i$  and integrate by parts, we have

$$n_j \int_0^d \rho_0 \zeta_i \zeta_j - 2\Omega n_j \int_0^d \rho_0 \zeta_i Dw_j + \frac{\mu k^2}{4\pi} \int_0^d H_0^2 \zeta_i \zeta_j = 0 \quad \dots \dots (23)$$

From (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_i^2 \left[ \int_0^d \rho_0 (Dw_i Dw_j + k^2 w_i w_j) \right] + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^d H_0^2 (Dw_i Dw_j + k^2 w_i w_j) \right] \\
 + n_i n_j \int_0^d \rho_0 \zeta_i \zeta_j + \frac{n_i}{n_j} \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} \left[ \int_0^d H_0^2 \zeta_i \zeta_j - gk^2 \int_0^d D\rho_0 w_i w_j \right] = 0 \quad (24)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now put  $i=j$ , so that  $n_i=n_j=n$  and etc. Then (24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 n^2 \int_0^d \rho_0 [(Dw)^2 + k^2 w^2] + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} \int_0^d H_0^2 [(Dw)^2 + k^2 w^2] + n^2 \int_0^d \rho_0 \zeta^2 \\
 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} \int_0^d H_0^2 \zeta^2 - gk^2 \int_0^d D\rho_0 w^2 = 0 \quad \dots \quad (25)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } n^2 I_1 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} I_2 + n^2 I_3 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} I_4 - gk^2 I_5 = 0 \quad \dots \quad (26)$$

Where  $I_i$  is the  $i$ th integral term in equation (25).

Now, let there be a small functional variation  $\delta w$ ,  $\delta \zeta$  in  $w(z)$  and  $\zeta(z)$  respectively and compatible with the boundary conditions (20). Let  $\delta n$  be the corresponding change in  $n$ . We shall assume that  $\delta w$ ,  $\delta \zeta$  and  $\delta n$  are small enough to neglect their squares, products and higher powers. If  $\delta I_i$ , denote the corresponding variation in  $I_i$ ; then equation (26), give

$$-\delta n [2nI_1 + 2nI_3] = n^2 \delta I_1 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} \delta I_2 + n^2 \delta I_3 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} \delta I_4 - gk^2 \delta I_5 \quad (27)$$

$$\text{where } \delta I_1 = 2 \int_0^d \rho_0 [Dw D\delta w + k^2 w \delta w] = -2 \int_0^d [D(\rho_0 Dw) - k^2 \rho_0 w] \delta w$$

$$\delta I_2 = -2 \int_0^d [DH_0^2 Dw + H_0^2 (D^2 - k^2) w] \delta w$$

$$\delta I_3 = 2 \int_0^d \rho_0 \zeta \delta \zeta \quad ; \quad \delta I_4 = 2 \int_0^d H_0^2 \zeta \delta \zeta$$

$$\delta I_5 = 2 \int_0^d D\rho_0 w \delta w$$

Substituting  $\delta I_i$  in (27) and utilising (18) and (19), we get

$$-\delta n \left[ nI_1 + nI_3 \right] = \int_0^d 2\Omega n D(\rho_o \zeta) \delta w + \int_0^d 2\Omega n \rho_o Dw \delta \zeta \quad \dots (28)$$

From (19) it can easily be deduced that

$$D(\delta w) = \frac{\delta \zeta \left[ \rho_o n^2 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} H_o^2 \right] + \delta n \left[ 2n \rho_o \zeta - 2\Omega \rho_o Dw \right]}{2\Omega \rho_o n} \quad \dots (29)$$

Integrating by parts the 1st term on the right hand side of (28) and utilising (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta n \left[ nI_1 + nI_3 + \int_0^d \zeta (2\Omega \rho_o Dw - 2n \rho_o \zeta) \right] \\ & \dots = \int_0^d \delta \zeta \left[ 2\Omega n \rho_o Dw - n^2 \rho_o \zeta - \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4\pi} H_o^2 \zeta \right] \quad \dots \quad \dots (30) \end{aligned}$$

The bracket under the integral sign on the right hand side of (30) is zero because of (19) and since

$$\left[ nI_1 + nI_3 + \int_0^d \zeta (2\Omega \rho_o Dw - 2n \rho_o \zeta) \right] \neq 0 \quad \text{in general}$$

$$\delta n \equiv 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots (31)$$

Hence we have a variational principle.

*Rotation about x-axis.*

In this case the linearised form of all the equations remain same except that of (8) which gives

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_o nu &= -ik_x \delta \rho + \frac{\mu}{4\pi} h_z DH_o \quad \dots \quad \dots (i) \\ \rho_o nv - 2\Omega \rho_o w &= -ik_y \delta \rho + \frac{\mu H_o}{4\pi} \left[ ik_x h_y - ik_y h_x \right] \quad (ii) \\ \rho_o nw + 2\Omega \rho_o v &= -D\delta \rho - g\delta \rho + \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \left[ H_o (ik_x h_z - Dh_x) - h_x DH_o \right] \quad (iii) \end{aligned} \right\} (32)$$

where  $\nu_k = (1,0,0)$

14

Following the procedure adopted in the last case, we can derive

$$\left( \rho_0 n k^2 - \frac{g k^2}{n} D \rho_0 \right) w - n D (\rho_0 D w) - 2 \Omega i k_y w D \rho_0 - 2 \Omega i k_x \rho_0 \zeta = \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4 \pi n} \left[ D H_0^2 D w + H_0^2 (D^2 - k^2) w \right] \quad (33)$$

and

$$\rho_0 n \zeta + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4 \pi} H_0^2 \zeta - 2 \Omega \rho_0 i k_x w = 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (34)$$

From equations (33) and (34) with the help of (20) it can easily be shown that a variational principle can only exist if

$$k_x = 0 \quad (35)$$

i.e. if the disturbance is periodic in the y-direction only. This removes the effect of magnetic field on the resulting motion which is in the horizontal rolls with their axis along the x-axis. Thus within the scope of hydromagnetics, which is mainly concerned with the effect of magnetic field on fluid motions, this problem can not be based on a variational method.

### PRINCIPLE OF EXCHANGE OF STABILITIES

If we take  $n_i$  and  $w_i$  to be complex and  $n_j$  and  $w_j$  to be their complex conjugates respectively, we can obtain from (24)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Im}(n) \left[ I_1 - I_3 + \frac{1}{|n|^2} \left( \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4 \pi} I_4 + g k^2 I_5 - \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4 \pi} I_2 \right) \right] &= 0 \quad (i) \\ \text{Re}(n) \left[ I_1 + I_3 + \frac{1}{|n|^2} \left( \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4 \pi} I_2 + \frac{\mu k_x^2}{4 \pi} I_4 - g k^2 I_5 \right) \right] &= 0 \quad (ii) \end{aligned} \right\} (36)$$

An examination of equations (36) will show that either  $n$  is real or purely an imaginary quantity. In the latter case the characteristic value of  $n^2$  will always be negative which means stability. This implies that even if oscillatory modes exist, they are stable. In other words over-stability cannot arise.

This in fact is the case which arises in the two special problems considered in the next section.

### Continuously stratified fluid of finite depth

We shall now consider the stability of a continuously stratified layer of fluid confined between two free horizontal surfaces  $z=0$  and  $z=d$  and rotating about z-axis. The permanent magnetic field is taken to be in the x-direction and stratified in the z-direction. Following Rayleigh we shall assume the density stratification law to be

$$\rho_0 = \rho_1 e^{\beta z} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (37)$$

where  $\rho_1$  and  $\beta$  are constants. Again, following Talwar we shall assume that the local hydromagnetic velocity is constant. Thus

$$H_0^2/\rho_0 = \text{const} = \frac{H_1^2/\rho_1}{\beta z}$$

or  $H_0^2 = H_1^2 e^{\beta z} \dots \dots \dots$  (38)

where  $H_1^2$  is constant.

The trial function satisfying the boundary conditions (20-i, iii) is assumed to be

$$w = A \sin s\pi z/d$$

$$= A \sin pz, \quad \text{where } p = s\pi/d$$

From (19)

$$\zeta = \frac{2\Omega A p n}{n^2 + b_1^2 k_x^2} \cos pz = B \cos pz$$

where  $B = 2\Omega A p n / (n^2 + b_1^2 k_x^2)$ ;  $b_1^2 = \mu H_1^2 / 4\pi\rho_1$

substituting from (39) and (40) in (25), utilising (37) and (38) and performing the integration, we have after some simple manipulation

$$n^4(1 + \lambda) + n^2 [2b_1^2 k_x^2 (1 + \lambda) - g\beta\lambda + 4\Omega^2 p^2] + b_1^4 k_x^4 (1 + \lambda) - g\beta\lambda b_1^2 k_x^2 = 0 \quad (41)$$

where  $\lambda = 2k^2 / (2p^2 + \beta^2)$

Equation (41) is a quadratic in  $n^2$  and may be written as

$$A_1 n^4 + B_1 n^2 + C_1 = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (42)$$

or

$$n^2 = \frac{-B_1 \pm \sqrt{B_1^2 - 4A_1 C_1}}{2A_1} \quad \dots \dots \dots (43)$$

It can be shown that the roots of (42) are real which indicates that over-stability is absent. While discussing the stability of the system we shall be interested in the unstable density stratification *viz.*  $\beta > 0$ . From (43) a necessary condition for instability is

$$-C_1 < 0$$

or  $b_1^2 < 2g\beta k^2 / k_x^2 [2(p^2 + k^2) + \beta^2]$

Thus a sufficient condition for stability is

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\mu H_1^2}{4\pi\rho_1} > \frac{2g\beta k^2}{k_x^2 [2(p^2 + k^2) + \beta^2]} \quad \dots \dots \dots (44)$$

It may be pointed out that in the absence of magnetic field this condition is never satisfied. Thus a magnetic field has a stabilizing influence on the fluid motion. From (44) it can be seen that the condition for stability is independent of rotation.



*Equilibrium of two superposed fluids of great depths.*

In this case the density configuration is

$$\rho_o(z) = \begin{cases} \rho_1 & 0 > z > -\infty \\ \rho_2 & 0 < z < \infty \end{cases} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (45)$$

where  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are constants. We shall assume that the magnetic field is uniform but is still in the horizontal direction. Equations (18) and (19) then combine to give

$$(n^2 + b^2 k_x^2)^2 (D^2 - k^2) w + 4\Omega^2 n^2 D^2 w = 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (46)$$

the boundary conditions are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} w_1(-\infty) = w_2(+\infty) = 0 \\ \zeta_1(-\infty) = \zeta_2(+\infty) = 0 \\ w_1(0) = w_2(0) \\ [h_z(0)]_1 = [h_z(0)]_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (47)$$

and  $\delta p$  is continuous at the physical interface.

The suffixes 1 and 2 refer to the lower and upper fluids respectively. The solution of (46) satisfying the boundary conditions (47) is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} w_1 = A e^{\alpha z} ; \quad w_2 = A e^{-\alpha z} \\ \text{and } \zeta_1 = C_1 e^{\alpha z} ; \quad \zeta_2 = C_2 e^{-\alpha z} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (48)$$

where  $\alpha = k \left[ 1 + \frac{4 \Omega^2 n^2}{(n^2 + b^2 k_x^2)^2} \right]^{-1/2}$

$$C_1 = \frac{2 \Omega A \alpha n}{n^2 + b_1^2 k_x^2} ; \quad C_2 = \frac{-2 \Omega A \alpha n}{n^2 + b_2^2 k_x^2}$$

$$b_1^2 = \frac{\mu H_o^2}{4 \pi \rho_1} ; \quad b_2^2 = \frac{\mu H_o^2}{4 \pi \rho_2}$$

Now  $\rho_o$  is constant everywhere except in the small region  $\epsilon > z > -\epsilon$  where the transition from  $\rho_1$  to  $\rho_2$  takes place. Also we sub-divide the range of integration into 3 parts (following Hide<sup>4</sup>) viz  $\infty > z > \epsilon$ ;  $\epsilon > z > -\epsilon$  and  $-\epsilon > z > -\infty$  and ultimately proceed to the limit  $\epsilon = 0$ .

Substitute for  $w(z)$  and  $\zeta(z)$  in (26), we have after performing the integration

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_o \left[ (D w)^2 + k^2 w^2 \right] + A^2 (k^2 + d^2) \left[ \rho_2 \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} e^{-2\alpha z} dz + \rho_1 \int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} e^{2\alpha z} dz \right]$$

The contribution of the 1st vanishes in the limit since  $\rho_0(z)$  is finite and  $w$  continuous. Thus

$$I_1 = \frac{A^2 (k^2 + \alpha^2)}{2\alpha} (\rho_1 + \rho_2) \quad ; \quad I_2 = H_0^2 A^2 (k^2 + \alpha^2)/\alpha$$

$$I_3 = \frac{C_2^2 \rho_2 + C_1^2 \rho_1}{2\alpha} \quad ; \quad I_4 = H_0^2 (C_1^2 + C_2^2)/2\alpha$$

$$I_5 = \int_{\rho_1}^{\rho_2} w^2 d\rho_0 = A^2 (\rho_2 - \rho_1)$$

where  $A^2$  is the mean value of  $w^2$  at  $z = 0$ . Substituting in (26), we get

$$[N_1 \rho_1 + N_2 \rho_2 - \lambda (\rho_2 - \rho_1)] N_1^2 N_2^2 + \chi^2 [N_1^3 \rho_1 + N_2^3 \rho_2] = 0 \quad (49)$$

where  $N_1 = (n^2 + b_1^2 k_x^2)$  ;  $N_2 = (n^2 + b_2^2 k_x^2)$

$$\chi^2 = \frac{4 \Omega^2 \alpha}{k^2 + \alpha^2} \quad ; \quad \lambda = 2 g \alpha k^2 / (k^2 + \alpha^2)$$

From (49)

$$\rho_1 N_1 \left( \frac{N_2^2 + \chi^2}{N_2^2} \right) + \rho_2 N_2 \left( \frac{N_1^2 + \chi^2}{N_1^2} \right) = \lambda (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \quad \dots \quad (50)$$

$$\text{Let } L = (N_2^2 + \chi^2) / N_2^2 \quad ; \quad M = (N_1^2 + \chi^2) / N_1^2$$

clearly  $L$  and  $M$  are both positive and greater than unity.

Thus (50) gives

$$n^2 [L \rho_1 + M \rho_2] = \lambda (\rho_2 - \rho_1) - \frac{\mu H_0^2}{4\pi} k_x^2 (L + M)$$

(i) For  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ ,  $n^2$  is negative which corresponds to stability against all disturbances.

(ii) For  $\rho_2 > \rho_1$  (unstable case). A necessary condition for instability is

$$\frac{\mu H_0^2}{4\pi} k_x^2 < \frac{\lambda (\rho_2 - \rho_1)}{(L + M)}$$

Let  $k_m$  be the value of  $k_x$  which makes (52) an equality, there shall be instability only

when

$$k_x < k_m$$

where

$$k_m^2 = \lambda (\rho_2 - \rho_1) / \frac{\mu H_0^2}{4\pi} (L + M)$$

In the absence of rotation,  $L = M = 1$ ,  $a = k$

(53) reduces to Talwar's<sup>2</sup> result. When  $\Omega \neq 0$ ,  $(L + M) > 2$  which shows that in the presence of rotation the instability when  $\rho_2 > \rho_1$  will set in at a lower wave number than in the absence of rotation.

*Acknowledgement*—I am very grateful to Dr. M. P. Murgai and Dr. V. R. Thiruvengkatachar for their guidance during progress of the work.

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> CHANDRASEKHAR, S., *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability*, Chapter X—Oxford University Press, (1961).
- <sup>2</sup> TALWAR, S. P., *Z. Fur Astrophysik*, **47**, 161, (1959).
- <sup>3</sup> REID, W. H., *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **2**, **57**, 415, (1961).
- <sup>4</sup> Hide, R., *Quart. J. Mech., Appl. Maths.*, **9**, 35 (1956).