WOUND BALLISTICS : STUDY OF THE RUPTURE OF HUMAN SKIN MEMBRANE UNDER THE IMPACT OF A PROJECTILE

M. JAUHARI & P. MAHANTA

Central Forensic Science Laboratory Calcutta-700014

(Received 12 January, 1977)

The paper attempts to theorize the rupture of human skin membrane under the impact of a projectile. An expression for the threshold velocity for penetration has been derived which is found to give results in fair agreement with experimental values reported in literature.

In a recent communication, Jauhari and Bandyopadhyay¹ have attempted to theorize the elastic breakdown of human skin membrane under the impact of a spherical projectile by having recourse to the theory of elasticity. As a first approximation, they treated the skin as a homogeneous, isotropic, elastic membrane having elastic properties akin to a ductile material. The elastic breakdown of the skin membrane was investigated on the basis of *maximum shear* theory and an expression for the threshold velocity required for the elastic breakdown of the skin membrane was derived. Calculations indicate that for persons in the age group 50-80 years, the threshold velocity is of the order of 4.6-5.2 m/sec for a spherical projectile of lead whose radius is ten times the thickness of the skin membrane. The elastic breakdown of the skin membrane is in fact the first stage in the process leading to its ultimate rupture. With the onset of yielding, the inelastic strain increases, eventually leading to the rupture.

When a material is stretched by a tensile force, the proportionality between the tensile force and the corresponding elongation holds only upto a certain limiting value of the tensile stress called the proportional limit, which depends upon the properties of the material. As this limit is exceeded, the relationship between the tensile stress and the elongation assumes a complicated form. To investigate the behaviour of a material beyond the limit of proportionality, the mechanical properties of the material are required to be known beyond the proportional limit. These properties are usually defined by tension and compression test diagrams. Tension test diagrams for skin of human cadavers (without subcutaneous fat) of different age groups are available in literature². These can, therefore, be of assistance in analysing the problem of rupture of human skin membrane under the impact of a projectile. In the present paper, an attempt has been made to study this aspect of the problem of skin penetration by missiles.

RUPTURE OF SKIN MEMBRANE

When a projectile strikes a human body, its impact causes the skin membrane to stretch. As the skin stretches, the kinetic energy of the projectile gets stored in skin as the potential energy of deformation. If the projectile has enough kinetic energy, it may cause the skin membrane to stretch to such an extent that it ruptures. Assuming that (a) the projectile does not deform on impact, (b) its kinetic energy is spent only in stretching the skin, one can visualize that the velocity of the projectile will continually diminish as the skin stretches and at the point of rupture, it will reduce to zero; the entire kinetic energy of the projectile being stored as the potential energy of deformation of the skin.

It is now stipulated that the skin membrane will just rupture when the kinetic energy of the projectile per unit volume of the strained skin membrane equals the strain energy per unit volume as obtained in a simple tension test of the skin membrane. The latter is obviously represented by the area under the stressstrain curve of the skin membrane right upto the rupture point. If m is the mass of the projectile, V_{th} is the threshold velocity required to just rupture the skin membrane, Δ_0 the volume of the skin strained as a result of the impact of the projectile and A the area under the stress-strain curve of the skin membrane in a simple tension test, the condition of rupture is represented by the following equation :

$$rac{1}{2} rac{m V_{th}^2}{\Delta_0} = A$$
 .

(1)

Eq. (1) gives the general condition under which the skin membrane will rupture under the impact of a projectile, irrespective of its size and shape. From (1)

$$V_{\ell h} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \, \Delta_0 A}{m}}, \qquad (2)$$

which gives an expression for the threshold velocity for the penetration of skin membrane.

Eq. (2) can be used to calculate the threshold velocity for penetration, provided Δ_0 , A and m are known. An attempt will now be made to calculate the threshold velocity for penetration in respect of solid spherical projectiles of lead and steel.

Expression for Δ_0

When a spherical projectile strikes the skin membrane, it is easy to visualize that on account of stretching, a portion of the skin, which remains in contact with the projectile will approximately assume the shape of a portion of a spherical shell of radius r, where r is the radius of the spherical projectile. This situation is depicted in Fig. 1, where a plane section of the skin (in a state of tension just at the point of rupture) and the spherical projectile are shown. The volume of skin strained in this manner can be obtained from simple geometrical considerations *i.e.*, by multiplying the area of presentation of the projectile with the thickness of the skin. Therefore,

$$\Delta_0 = \pi r^2 t_0 \sin^2 \theta, \tag{3}$$

where t_0 is the thickness of the skin in an unstretched condition and 2θ is the angle subtended by the portion of the skin in contact with the projectile at the latter's centre. The maximum area of presentation in

case of a spherical projectile can be πr^2 which is attained when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, *i.e.*, when the skin in con-

tact with the ball envelops the half of the spherical surface of the ball. Therefore, the maximum value of Δ_0 at the point of rupture can be

$$(\varDelta_0)_{max} = \pi \, r^2 \, t_o. \tag{4}$$

Referring to Fig. 1, a skin of length $2r \sin\theta$ is stretched to a length $2r\theta$. Therefore, the percent elongation in this case will be

$$=\frac{2r\theta-2r\sin\theta}{2r\sin\theta}\times100=\left(\frac{\theta}{\sin\theta}-1\right)100$$
(5)

When $\theta = \pi^2$, $\epsilon = 57\%$. Thus it is clear that so long $0 < \epsilon < 57\%$ at the point of rupture, the value of



Figure 1. Plate section of the skin and the spherical projectile at the point of rupture.

 Δ_0 will depend upon θ as per eq. (3). Once the rupture is recorded at elongations greater or equal to 57% the value of Δ_0 will be given by (4) which is independent of θ . The values of θ and $\sin\theta$ for different percentage elongations are given in Table 1 and these will be used for the calculation of threshold velocity.

Expression for A

As stated earlier, A represents the area under the stress-strain curve of skin in a simple tension test. According to Seely³, this is approximately represented as below in case of ductile materials :

$$A = \frac{S_y + S_u}{2} \epsilon_u, \tag{6}$$

where S_y and S_u are the yield-point and ultimate strength of the material respectively, and ϵ_u is the strain at the rupture point. In so far as human skin membrane is concerned, stress-strain curves for various age groups are available in literature². The area under these curves was, therefore, directly calculated and is given in Table 2.

Expression for m

If the projectile is in the form of a solid spherical ball of radius r and density $\rho_{\rm s}$

$$m=\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 \rho, \qquad (7)$$

Therefore, the final expression for V_{th} is obtained by substituting for Δ_0 and m from eqs. (3) and (7) respectively in equation (2) *i.e.*,

$$V_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \frac{A t_o}{r\rho} \sin \theta}$$
(8)

Calculation of V_{th} for different values of A, $\frac{t_o}{r}$ and $\sin\theta$ is given in Tables 3 – 6 for solid spherical pro-

jectiles of steel ($\rho = 7.8 \text{ gms/c.c.}$) and lead [$\rho = 11 \text{gms/c.c.}$].

TABLE 1

TABLE 2

PERCENT ELONGATION (ϵ) VERSUS θ and sin θ

A, PERCENT ELONGATION AT RUPTURE AND THRESHOLD VELOCITY (for r=1/16'' and t_0 5=mm) FOR DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS

Elongation (%)	θ degrees	Sin $ heta$		Age group	A (ft-poun- dal/cu. inch)	Elonga- tion at rupture (%)	Threshold velocity (ft/sec.)
10	44	.6947		From 2 months pre-	632.15	47	102
20	59	.8571		fants to 3 years old children			
30	70	.9396	n de la composition de Composition de la composition de la comp	1530 years	822.55	35	113
40	79	.9816		30-50 years	837.79	33	113
57	90	1 1		5080 years	891.10	31	115

··· .

T	ABI	LE	3

Vth IN FT/SEC FOR THE AGE GROUP 50-80 YEARS

t ₀ /r	Elongation at rupture	10%	20%	30%	40%	57%
	lead	13	16	17	18	18
0.1	steel	15	19	20	21	22
	lead	29	35	39	40	41
0.5	steel	34	42	46	48	49
	lead	40	50	55	57	58
1	steel	48	59	65	68	69
	lead	57	70	77	81	82
2	steel	68	83	91	96	97
	lead	70	86	94	98	100
3	steel	83 2 1	102	112	117	119
	lead	81	99	109	114	116
4 (*)	steel	·96	• 118	129	135	138
	lead	ب 90	111	122	127	130
5	steel	0 107	132	145	151	154

TABLE 4

Vth in ft/sec for the Age Group 30-50 Years

t ₀ /r	Elongation at rupture	10%	20%	30%	40%	57%
	lead	13	15	17	18	18
0.1	steel	15	18	20	21	21
	lead	28	34	37		39
0.5	steel	33	40	44	46	47
	lead	39	48	53	55	56
	steel	(46	57	63	66	67
· · · · ·	lead	55	68	75	78	79
2	steel	66	81		93	94
	lead	67	83	92	96	98
3	steel	80	99	109	· <u>114</u>	116
	lead	78	96	, 105	· 110	113
4	steel	93	114	125	131	134
	lead	87	108	118	124	125
5	steel	104	128	140	147	149

Elongation at rupture	10%	20%	30%	40%	57
lead	13			18]
steel	15	18	20	21	
lead	27	34	37	39	
steel		40	44	46	
lead '	39	48	52	55	
steel	46	57	62	65	
lead	55	67	74	77	1
steel	65	80	88	92	
lead	67	82	91	95	
steel	80	98	108	113	1
lead	77	95	104	109	1
steel	92	113	124	130	1
lead	87	107	117	122	1
	<u> </u>				
steel	103 ie Age Group from	127 Table 6 2 Months Prem/	139 ATURELY BORN INI	143 ANTS TO CHILDRE	1 n of 3 Years
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture	103 ie Age Group from 10%	127 Table 6 2 Months Prem/ 20%	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30%	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40%	1 N OF 3 YEAR: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead	103 18 Age Group from 10% 11	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14	40%	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel INLFT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREMA 20% 13 16	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN LFT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN LFT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48 57	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59 70	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65 77	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67 80	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48 57 59	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59 70 71	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65 77 79	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67 80 83	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 E AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48 57 59 70	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59 70 71 85	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65 77 79 94	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67 80 83 99	1 N OF 3 YEAR: 57
steel IN FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48 57 59 70 67	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59 70 71 85 83	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65 77 79 94 92	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67 80 83 99 96	1 n of 3 Year: 57
steel IN [FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 E AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48 57 59 70 67 80	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59 70 71 85 83 99	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65 77 79 94 92 109	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67 80 83 99 96 114	1 N OF 3 YEAR: 57
steel IN FT/SEC FOR TH Elongation at rupture lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead steel lead	103 IE AGE GROUP FROM 10% 11 13 23 28 34 40 48 57 59 70 67 80 76	127 TABLE 6 2 MONTHS PREM/ 20% 13 16 29 35 42 50 59 70 71 85 83 99 93	139 ATURELY BORN INI 30% 14 17 32 38 45 54 65 77 79 94 92 109 103	143 FANTS TO CHILDRE 40% 15 18 34 40 48 57 67 80 83 99 99 96 114 107	1 N OF 3 YEARS 57 10 10 10 10

JAUHARI & MAHANTA : STUDY OF THE RUPTURE OF HUMAN SEIN MEMBRANE

TABLE 5

DISCUSSION

In the derivation of the expression for the threshold velocity, it was assumed that the skin while resis-ting the impact of a moving projectile behaved in the same manner as while resisting a static load, *i.e.*, the stress-strain curves in the two cases were identical. The validity of this assumption may be questionable

105

especially if the load is applied with extreme suddenness. It is, however, experimentally observed that the threshold velocity for the penetration of human skin is of the order of 125—170 ft/sec⁴. The impulse transmitted at such low velocities may not be of such a high magnitude as to invalidate our assumption for approximate calculations.

Another assumption made was that the entire kinetic energy of the projectile was consumed in causing deformation of the skin membrane. In actual practice, a part of this energy is used up in deforming the ball or setting up stresses in its body and a part is consumed in displacing the subcutaneous material. Therefore, the threshold velocity as calculated by the formula derived in this paper is expected to be on the lower side of the velocity observed experimentally.

A reference to Table 2 brings out an interesting fact that the value of A is more or less constant for persons belonging to the different age groups, although their elastic constants are known to differ considerably. This suggests that for the rupture of human skin membrane, there is a threshold strain energy/ unit volume. Once the strain energy/unit volume reaches this threshold figure, the skin ruptures. However, according to Beyer⁴, there is a threshold velocity (125–170 ft/sec) for the rupture of skin. Missiles with velocities lower than this figure cause contusions without the rupture of skin membrane. The contention of Beyer can be justified provided the factor Δ_0/m in (2) has a more or less constant value irrespective of the size and shape of the missile. This is so because in the general expression for V_{tb} given in (2), this factor is involved in addition to A which, as stated above, is more or less constant for the different age-groups. The expression for V_{tb} for a spherical projectile given by (8) involves t_0/r , ρ and Sin θ as variable factors. Prima facie, therefore, V_{tb} cannot be assumed to have the same threshold value irrespective of the size and shape of the missile. This is quite evident from Tables 3–6 where V_{tb} has been calculated for varying values of t_0/r , ρ and sin θ . It will be noted from these Tables that the factor t_0/r (ratio of thickenss of skin to the radius of the ball) has considerable influence on the value of V_{tb} .

We may now calculate the value of V_{th} for a steel ball of (4/32)'' diameter which was used by Beyer for his experiments. To have an idea about the longitudinal strain at the point of rupture, guidance may be obtained from the stress-strain curves of human skin reproduced by Rothman². From these curves, it is observed that the rupture in various age groups occurs at elongations shown in Table 2. Taking these elongations as observed in a simple tension test to be approximately valid at the rupture point when a projectile strikes the skin membrane, the threshold velocity for persons of various age groups for skin measuring 5 mm in thickness is given in Table 2. These figures are quite close to the value of the threshold velocity reported by Bever (125-170 ft/sec). However, the fact remains that for smaller skin thickness the threshold velocity is lower for the same elongations. When $t_o/r \approx 0.1$, it may not be objectionable to assume without much error that the stresses across the thickness of the skin membrane are uniformly distributed. However, when t_0/r has a higher value, the stress distribution is far from uniform. In such a case, the layer in contact with the ball is stressed to a maximum extent and the outermost layer The strain energy density may, therefore, not be constant throughout. to the minimum extent. As the ball loses energy and thus more and more of its energy is stored in skin, successive layers may gradually be thrown into a stage of breakdown. Eventually, the entire thickness of the skin membrane is brought into a stage of breakdown. The inelastic strain in this manner continually increases leading to the rupture of the membrane.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge the benefit of discussion with Dr. R. N. Bhattacharya, Professor of Applied Mathematics and Dean, Faculty of Science, University of Jadavpur, Calcutta. Thanks are due to the Bureau of Police Research and Development, Government of India. New Delhi for the award of a research fellowship to P. Mahanta,

REFERENCES

1. JAUHARI, M. & BANDYOPADHYAY, A., 'Wound Ballistics : 'Mathematical Model for the Elastic Breakdown of Skin Membrane under the Impact of a Spherical Projectile' Sciences EtTechniques de L'Armement, 51 (1977) Oe-Fasc., 500-516.

2. ROTHMAN, S., 'Physiology and Biochemistry of Skin' (The University of Chicago Press, London & Chicago), 1965, p. 5.

- 3. SEELY, & FRED. B., 'Resistance of Materials' (Jhon Wiley & Sons, Inc., London) Second Edition, p. 268.
- 4. BEYER, J., 'Wound Ballistics', (Office of the Surgeon General, Department of Army, Washington, D. C.), (1962), p. 138-139