

APPLICATION OF E-OPERATOR IN EVALUATING CERTAIN FINITE INTEGRALS

F. SINGH

Government Engineering College, Rewa

(Received 29 April 1971)

In an attempt to unify some earlier results in the theory of the functions of hypergeometric type, the author establishes some new results involving the product of generalized hypergeometric function and H -function.

The object of this paper is to evaluate some finite integrals involving the product of generalized hypergeometric function and H -function with the help of finite difference operator E . As on specializing the parameters the generalized hypergeometric function may be converted into a number of special functions and polynomials, and H -function into G -function and all those functions which can be defined through G -function, the integrals obtained in this paper are of general nature and many interesting particular cases have been obtained some of which are known and others are believed to be new.

Bajpai¹, Chhabra & Singh², Sharma³ and Sharma⁴ have established useful results in the theory of functions of hypergeometric type. The H -function introduced by Fox⁵ is represented and defined as follows :

$$H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, e_1), \dots, (a_p, e_p) \\ (b_1, f_1), \dots, (b_q, f_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_{j=1}^K \Gamma(b_j - f_j S) \prod_{j=1}^l \Gamma(1 - a_j + e_j S)}{\prod_{j=K+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + f_j S) \prod_{j=l+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - e_j S)} x^S dS, \quad (1)$$

where x is not equal to zero and an empty product is interpreted as unity; p, q, K and l are integers satisfying $1 \leq K \leq q, 0 \leq l \leq p, e_j (j = 1, \dots, p), f_h (h = 1, \dots, q)$ are positive numbers and $a_j (j = 1, \dots, p), b_h (h = 1, \dots, q)$ are complex numbers. L is a suitable contour of Barnes type such that the poles of $\Gamma(b_j - f_j S) (j = 1, \dots, K)$ lie to the right and those of $\Gamma(1 - a_j + e_j S) (j = 1, \dots, l)$ to the left of L . These assumptions for the H -function will be adhered to throughout this paper.

In a more compact form, H -function is represented by

$$H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

where $\{(a_p, \beta_p)\}$ stands for a set of parameters $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, (\alpha_p, \beta_p)$ and will be reduced to Meijer's G -function if $e_j (j = 1, \dots, p), f_i (i = 1, \dots, q)$ are positive integers, i. e.

$$H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = (2\pi)^D \prod_{j=1}^q f_j^{(b_j - \frac{1}{2})} \prod_{j=1}^p e_j^{(\frac{1}{2} - a_j)} \sum_{j=1}^K f_j, \sum_{j=1}^l e_j \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^p e_j^{e_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^q f_j^{f_j}} x \left| \begin{matrix} \Delta(e_1, a_1), \dots, \Delta(e_p, a_p) \\ \Delta(f_1, b_1), \dots, \Delta(f_q, b_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right), \quad (2)$$

where $D = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{1 - f_j}{2} - \sum_{j=K+1}^q \frac{1 - f_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{1 - e_j}{2} - \sum_{j=l+1}^p \frac{1 - e_j}{2}$ and $\Delta(m, a)$ represents a set of m parameters $\frac{a}{m}, \frac{a+1}{m}, \dots, \frac{a+m-1}{m}$.

According to Braaksma⁶

$$H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = 0 \quad (|x|^\alpha) \quad \text{for small } x,$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^p e_j - \sum_{j=1}^q f_j \leq 0$ and $\alpha = \min R\left(\frac{b_h}{f_h}\right)$, $(h = 1, \dots, K)$

and

$$H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = 0 \quad (|x|^\beta) \quad \text{for large } x,$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^p e_j - \sum_{j=1}^q f_j < 0$; $\sum_{j=1}^l e_j - \sum_{j=l+1}^p e_j + \sum_{j=1}^K f_j - \sum_{j=K+1}^q f_j \equiv \lambda > 0$, $|\arg x| < \frac{1}{2} \pi \lambda$

and $\beta = \max R\left(\frac{a_j - 1}{e_j}\right)$ $(j = 1, \dots, l)$.

The following formulae will be used in the present work.

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[z x^{m/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho + \beta - 1} \Gamma(\beta) H_{ph+1, qh+1}^{Kh, lh+1} \left[(z h^\tau)^h t^m \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, \Delta(1-\rho-\beta, m) \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad (3)$$

where m is a positive number and h is a positive integer,

$$A = K + l - \frac{p}{2} - \frac{q}{2}, B = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j + \frac{p}{2} - \frac{q}{2} + 1, \tau = \sum_{j=1}^p e_j - \sum_{j=1}^q f_j, \{(\Delta(t, \delta_r), \gamma_r)\}$$

$\{(\frac{\delta_r}{t}, \gamma_r)\}, \dots, \{(\frac{\delta_r + t - 1}{t}, \gamma_r)\}$ and provided that $R(\beta) > 0, R(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}) > 0$ $(j = 1, \dots, K)$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k f_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^q f_j + \sum_{j=1}^l e_j - \sum_{j=l+1}^p e_j \equiv M > 0, \tau \leq 0 \text{ and } |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$$

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[z x^{m/h} (t-x)^{n/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho + \beta - 1} H_{ph+2, qh+1}^{Kh, lh+2} \left[(z h^\tau)^h t^{m+n} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, m), (1-\beta, n), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1-\rho-\beta, m+n) \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad (4)$$

provided that m and n are positive numbers and h is a positive integer,

$$R(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}) > 0, R(\beta + \frac{nb_j}{hf_j}) > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, K), M > 0, \tau \leq 0 \text{ and } |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M.$$

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[z x^{m/h} (t-x)^{-n/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx = \theta, \phi \text{ or } \psi, \quad (5)$$

According as $m > n$, $< n$ or $= n$, where

$$\theta = (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho + \beta - 1} H \begin{matrix} Kh + 1, lh + 1 \\ ph + 1, qh + 2 \end{matrix} \left[(zh^\tau)^h t^{m-n} \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \rho, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ (\beta, n), \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1 - \rho - \beta, m - n) \end{matrix} \right. \right],$$

$$\phi = (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho + \beta - 1} H \begin{matrix} Kh + 1, lh + 1 \\ ph + 2, qh + 1 \end{matrix} \left[\frac{(zh^\tau)^h}{t^{n-m}} \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \rho, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\}, (\rho + \beta, n - m) \\ (\beta, n), \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

and

$$\psi = \frac{(2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho + \beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\rho + \beta)} H \begin{matrix} Kh + 1, lh + 1 \\ ph + 1, qh + 1 \end{matrix} \left[(zh^\tau)^h \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \rho, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ (\beta, m), \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right],$$

where m, n are positive numbers and h is a positive integer and the condition of validity being

$$R\left\{\beta - \frac{n}{he_j} (a_j - 1)\right\} > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, l), R\left(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}\right) > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, K), M > 0, \tau < 0 \text{ and } |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M.$$

when $n=m$, n is to be replaced by m in the above conditions.

The formulae (3), (4) and (5) can easily be established by replacing the H -function (with $h=1$) on the left hand side by its equivalent contour integral as given in (1), changing the order of integrations which is justifiable due to the absolute convergence of the integrals, evaluating the inner integral⁷ and applying the multiplication formula for H -function, viz.

$$H \begin{matrix} K, l \\ p, q \end{matrix} \left[z x^{m/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\alpha_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B H \begin{matrix} Kh, lh \\ ph, qh \end{matrix} \left[(z h^\tau)^{h x^m} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right].$$

The finite difference operator E is⁸

$$E_a f(a) = f(a + 1): \tag{6}$$

$$(\alpha; n) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}: \tag{7}$$

We shall now obtain the following formulae :

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} {}_uF_v \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_u \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_v \end{matrix}; C x^\nu (t-x)^\mu \right\} H \begin{matrix} K, l \\ p, q \end{matrix} \left[z x^{m/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(p_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u (\alpha_j; r) \Gamma(\beta + r\mu) C^r t^{\nu+\mu}}{\prod_{j=1}^v (\beta_j; r) (r)!}.$$

$$H \begin{matrix} Kh, lh + 1 \\ ph + 1, qh + 1 \end{matrix} \left[(z h^\tau)^{h t^m} \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \rho - r\nu, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1 - \rho - \beta - r\nu - r\mu, m) \end{matrix} \right. \right], \tag{8}$$

where m is a positive number, h is a positive integer, ν and μ are non-negative integers. The above formula holds if $u \leq v$ (or $u = v + 1$ and $|C| < 1$), no one of the denominator parameters β_1, \dots, β_v is

zero or a negative integer, $R(\beta) > 0$, $R(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$), $M > 0$, $\tau \leq 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$.

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} {}_uF_v \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_u \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_v \end{matrix}; Cx^\nu (t-x)^\mu \right\} H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[z x^{m/h} (t-x)^{n/h} \left\{ \begin{matrix} (a_p, e_p) \\ (b_q, f_q) \end{matrix} \right\} \right] dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u (\alpha_j; r) C^r t^{r(\nu+\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^v (\beta_j; r) (r)!}$$

$$\cdot H_{ph+2, qh+1}^{Kh, lh+2} \left[(zh^\tau)^h t^{m+n} \left\{ \begin{matrix} (1-\rho-r\nu, m), (1-\beta-r\mu, n), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ (\Delta(h, b_q), f_q), (1-\rho-\beta-r\nu-r\mu, m+n) \end{matrix} \right\} \right] \quad (9)$$

provided that m, n are positive numbers, h is a positive integer, ν and μ are non-negative integers, $u \leq v$ ($u = v + 1$ and $|C| < 1$), no one of the denominator parameters β_1, \dots, β_v is zero or a negative integer; $R(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}) > 0$, $R(\beta + \frac{nb_j}{hf_j}) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$), $M > 0$, $\tau \leq 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} {}_uF_v \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_u \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_v \end{matrix}; Cx^\nu (t-x)^\mu \right\} H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[zx^{m/h} (t-x)^{-n/h} \left\{ \begin{matrix} (a_p, e_p) \\ (b_q, f_q) \end{matrix} \right\} \right] dx$$

$$= \theta_1, \phi_1 \text{ or } \psi_1, \text{ according as } m > n, < n, \text{ or } = n. \quad (10)$$

where

$$\theta_1 = (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u (\alpha_j; r) C^r t^{r(\nu+\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^v (\beta_j; r) (r)!}$$

$$\cdot H_{ph+1, qh+2}^{Kh+1, lh+1} \left[(zh^\tau)^h t^{m-n} \left\{ \begin{matrix} (1-\rho-r\nu, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ (\beta+r\mu, n), \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1-\rho-\beta-r\nu-r\mu, m-n) \end{matrix} \right\} \right]$$

$$\phi_1 = (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u (\alpha_j; r) C^r t^{r(\nu+\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^v (\beta_j; r) (r)!}$$

$$\cdot H_{ph+2, qh+1}^{Kh+1, lh+1} \left[\frac{(zh^\tau)^h}{t^{n-m}} \left\{ \begin{matrix} (1-\rho-r\nu, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\}, (\rho+\beta+r\nu+r\mu, n-m) \\ (\beta+r\mu, n), \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right\} \right]$$

and

$$\psi_1 = (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u (\alpha_j; r) C^r t^{r(\nu+\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^v (\beta_j; r) (r)! \Gamma(\rho+\beta+r\nu+r\mu)}$$

$$H_{ph+1, qh+1}^{Kh+1, lh+1} \left[(zh^\tau)^h \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho-r\nu, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ (\beta+r\mu, m), \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right],$$

where m, n are positive numbers, h is a positive integer and ν and μ are non-negative integers. The formula (10) exists when $u \leq v$ ($u = v + 1$ and $|C| < 1$), no one of β_1, \dots, β_v is zero or a negative integer, $R\left(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}\right) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$), $R\left\{\beta - \frac{n}{he_j}(a_j - 1)\right\} > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), $M > 0$, $\tau < 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2}M\pi$ when $n = m$, n is to be replaced by m in the above conditions.

Proof of Integral (8)–

On multiplying both sides of (3) by $\frac{\prod_{j=1}^u \Gamma(\alpha_j + \delta) C^\delta}{\prod_{j=1}^v \Gamma(\beta_j + \delta)}$ and applying the operators $e^{E_\rho^\nu} E_{\beta^\mu} E_\delta$, we get

$$e^{E_\rho^\nu} E_{\beta^\mu} E_\delta \left[\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[z x^{m/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u \Gamma(\alpha_j + \delta) C^\delta}{\prod_{j=1}^v \Gamma(\beta_j + \delta)} dx \right]$$

$$= e^{E_\rho^\nu} E_{\beta^\mu} E_\delta \left[\frac{\prod_{j=1}^u \Gamma(\alpha_j + \delta) (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} C^\delta \Gamma(\beta)}{\prod_{j=1}^v \Gamma(\beta_j + \delta)} \cdot \right.$$

$$\left. H_{ph+1, qh+1}^{Kh, lh+1} \left[(zh^\tau)^h t^m \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1-\rho-\beta, m)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \right] \quad (11)$$

Expanding both sides of (11) and using (6), we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} H_{p, q}^{K, l} \left[z x^{m/h} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u \Gamma(\alpha_j + \delta + r) C^{\delta+r} x^{\rho\nu} (t-x)^{\beta\mu}}{\prod_{j=1}^v \Gamma(\beta_j + \delta + r) (r)!} dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u \Gamma(\alpha_j + \delta + r) C^{\delta+r} t^{\rho+\beta+r\nu+r\mu-1} \Gamma(\beta + a_\mu)}{\prod_{j=1}^v \Gamma(\beta_j + \delta + r) (r)!}$$

$$H_{ph+1, qh+1}^{Kh, lh+1} \left[(zh^\tau)^h t^m \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho-r\nu, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1-\rho-\beta-r\nu-r\mu, m)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \quad (12)$$

Now using (7), changing the order of summation and integration of the left hand side and replacing $(\alpha_j + \delta)$ by α_j and $(\beta_j + \delta)$ by β_j we get (8). The change of order of summation and integration involved in the process can be easily justified⁸.

The results (9) and (10) can be established exactly on the same lines using the same multiplier and operators except that the formulae (4) and (5) are used respectively instead of (3).

(i) Putting $\nu=0$ in (8), we have

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\beta-1} {}_uF_v \left\{ \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_u \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_v \end{matrix}; C(t-x)^\mu \right\} H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[z x^{m/h} \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right] dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B t^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^u \Gamma(\alpha_j+r) \Gamma(\beta+r\mu) C^r t^{r\mu}}{\prod_{j=1}^v \Gamma(\beta_j+r) (r)!}$$

$$\cdot H_{ph+1, qh+1}^{Kh, lh+1} \left[(z h^\tau)^h t^m \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1-\rho-\beta-r\mu, m) \end{matrix} \right], \tag{13}$$

The conditions of validity being the same as given for (8).

(i) Taking $C = t = v = \mu = 1, u = 2, \beta_1 = \beta, \nu = 0$ in (8), replacing the H -function on the right hand side by its equivalent contour integral as given in (1), then changing the order of summation and integration and evaluating the inner summation with the help of Gauss's theorem⁷, we have

$$\int_0^1 x^{\rho-1} (1-x)^{\beta-1} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \\ \beta \end{matrix}; 1-x \right) H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[z x^{m/h} \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right] dx$$

$$= (2\pi)^{(1-h)A} h^B \Gamma(\beta) H_{ph+2, qh+2}^{Kh, lh+2} \left[(z h^\tau)^h \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, m), (1+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\rho-\beta, m), \{(\Delta(h, a_p), e_p)\} \\ \{(\Delta(h, b_q), f_q)\}, (1+\alpha_1-\rho-\beta, m), (1+\alpha_2-\rho-\beta, m) \end{matrix} \right], \tag{14}$$

provided that m is positive number, h is a positive integer, $R(\rho+\beta-\alpha_1-\alpha_2) > 0, R(\beta) > 0$

$R\left(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{hf_j}\right) > 0 (j = 1, \dots, K), M > 0, \tau \leq 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$.

(iii) In (8) on using the formula (2), we get a known result recently obtained by Chhabra & Singh² Further on taking $C=v=h=t=v=1, u=2, \mu=0$, replacing β by $\beta-\gamma-n+1, \alpha_1$ by $-n$, where n is a positive integer, α_2 by β, β_1 by γ, H -function on the right hand side by its equivalent contour integral, then changing the order of summation and integration and using Saalschutz's theorem⁷, we obtain result due to Sharma⁴.

(iv) Taking $C = t = v = \nu = 1, u = 2, \mu = 0$ in (8) and rearranging the parameters in H -function on the right hand side, we get a result given by Sharma³.

(v) On taking $C = t = \nu = h = v = 1, u = 2, \alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2 = 2\rho, \beta_1 = \rho + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, n = m, \mu = 0$ in (9),

replacing β by ρ and H -function on the right hand side by its equivalent contour integral as given in (1) changing the order of summation and integration and applying the Watson's theorem⁹, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 x^{\rho-1} (1-x)^{\rho-1} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, 2\rho \\ \rho + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x \right) H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[z x^m (1-x)^m \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\} \end{matrix} \right] dx$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\rho + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \rho\right)} H_{p+4, q+3}^{K, l+4} \left[z \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, m), (1-\rho, m), \left(\frac{1}{2}-\rho, m\right), \left(1+\frac{\alpha}{2}, m\right), \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_q, f_q)\}, (1-2\rho, 2m), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \rho, m\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, m\right) \end{matrix} \right] \tag{15}$$

where m is a positive number, $R(\alpha) < 1$, $R(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{f_j}) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$), $M > 0$, $\tau \leq 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$.

(vi) Setting $C = t = h = v = 1$, $u = 2$, $\mu = 0$ and rearranging the parameters in H -function on the right hand side, (9) yields a known result due to Sharma³. Further using (2) we get another result given recently by Bajpai¹.

(vii) If we take $C = t = v = h = 1$, $\mu = 0$, $u = 4$, $v = 3$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $\alpha_3 = 1 + \alpha - \rho$,

$\alpha_4 = \nu$, $\beta_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $\beta_2 = \rho$ and $\beta_3 = 1 + \alpha - \nu$ in (10) (with $m < n$), replace β by $1 + \alpha - 2\rho$, n by

$2m$, H -function on the right hand side by its equivalent contour integral as given in (1), change the order of summation and integration and evaluate the inner summation with the help of Dougall's second theorem⁹, we get

$$\int_0^1 x^{\rho-1} (1-x)^{\alpha-2\rho} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 + \alpha - \rho, \nu \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, \rho, 1 + \alpha - \nu \end{matrix} ; x \right) H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[z x^m (1-x)^{-2m} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_i, f_i)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\rho) \Gamma(1 + \alpha - \nu)}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha) \Gamma(\rho - \nu)} H_{p+3, q+2}^{K+2, l+1} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \rho, m), \{(a_p, e_p)\}, (\nu, m), (1 + \alpha - \nu - \rho, m) \\ (1 + \alpha - 2\rho, 2m), (-\nu, m), \{(b_i, f_i)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad (16)$$

provided m is a positive number, $R(\nu) < 0$, $R(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{f_j}) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$),

$R\left\{1 + \alpha - 2\rho - 2m \left(\frac{a_j - 1}{e_j}\right)\right\} > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), $M > 0$, $\tau < 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$.

(viii) On setting $C = t = v = h = 1$, $u = 2$, $\mu = 0$ and rearranging the parameters in H -function on the right hand side, (10) (with $n = m$) yields a result obtained by Sharma³. Further if we apply the formula (2), we get a next result given by Bajpai¹.

(ix) Lastly with $C = t = v = h = 1$, $u = 2$, $\mu = 0$, replacing β by $\beta - \rho$, α_1 by α , α_2 by β , β_1 by γ , proceeding on the same lines as in (vii) and evaluating the inner summation with the help of Gauss's theorem⁷, (10) (with $n = m$) yields

$$\int_0^1 x^{\rho-1} (1-x)^{\beta-\rho-1} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix} ; x \right) H_{p,q}^{K,l} \left[z x^m (1-x)^{-m} \left| \begin{matrix} \{(a_p, e_p)\} \\ \{(b_i, f_i)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right] dx$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)} H_{p+2, q+2}^{K+2, l+1} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \rho, m), \{(a_p, e_p)\}, (\gamma - \rho, m) \\ (\beta - \rho, m), (\gamma - \alpha - \rho, m), \{(b_i, f_i)\} \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad (17)$$

where m is a positive number, $R(\gamma - \alpha - \rho) > 0$, $R\left(\rho + \frac{mb_j}{f_j}\right) > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, K$),

$R\left\{\beta - \rho - m \left(\frac{a_j - 1}{e_j}\right)\right\} > 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), $\tau < 0$, $M > 0$ and $|\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \pi M$.

If we use the formula (2), (17) yields a result obtained by Sharma⁴. The results similar to (13) can also be obtained from (9) and (10) on taking $\nu = 0$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Dr. S. P. Chhabra for his interest during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. BAJPAI, S. D., *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **63** (1967), 1049.
2. CHHABRA, S. P. & F. SINGH, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **65** (1969), 479.
3. SHARMA, O. P., *Seminario Matematico de Barcelona*, **17** (1965).
4. SHARMA, K. C., *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **60** (1964), 539.
5. FOX, C., *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **98** (1961), 395, 408.
6. BEAKSMA; B. L. J., *Compo. Math.*, **15** (1963), 239, 279, 246.
7. BATEMAN PROJECT, "Higher Transcendental Functions", Vol. I, (MacGraw Hill) 1953, pp. 9, 188, 61.
8. MILNE-THOMSON, I. M., "The Calculus of Finite Differences", 1938, pp. 3, 173.
9. MAC ROBERT, T. M., "Functions of Complex Variables" (MacMillan & Co., London) 1962, pp. 363, 372.