A NOTE ON THE INTERACTION OF A SHOCK-WAVE WITH A CURVED BOUNDARY

R. K. BISWAS

Defence Science Laboratory, Delhi

(Received 10 July 1968; revised 18 November 1968)

The analytical expression for the pressure field behind an arbitrary plane shock-wave which encounters a curved boundary at nearly glancing incidence has been obtained. The pressure distribution on the surface for different shocks is calculated and compared with that of a wedge.

When a shock wave advancing over a plane surface meets a bend, the phenomenon of diffraction takes place. The non-uniform region behind the diffracted shock is of interest. Bergmann¹ has considered the interaction of normal shock with a small bend. He has linearized the basic equations by assuming that the flow in the non-uniform region is irrotational. Lighthill² has investigated the problem of diffraction of normal blasts by a wedge. He has taken into account the rotationality of flow in the non-uniform region which is physically more consistent. Ting & Ludloff³ have dealt with a blast passing over a surface of an arbitrary flat structure. Their method is more general than that of Lighthill. Smyrl⁴ has considered the impact of a shock wave on a supersonic aerofoil utilizing Lighthill's² theory. Pack ⁵ has given a detailed review on problems relating to diffraction and reflection of shock waves. Srivastava^{6,7} has extended Lighthill's theory to the case of oblique shock wave. In this work the theory of Ting & Ludloff³ has been applied to obtain the pressure field behind a normal shock wave of arbitrary strength which has encountered a curved boundary (parabolic shape) at nearly glancing incidence.

Let a plane shock of any strength be advanced (Fig. 1) over the surface of a twodimensional curved boundary [y = f(x)]. The small perturbation theory applied to the non-uniform region enclosed by the rigid boundary, diffracted shock and Mach circle leads to the wave equation³:





where

$$D_{x,t} = (\Omega_1 + M + \Omega_2 M) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + (1 + M^2 + 2M\Omega_1) c. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \\ + (\Omega_1 M^2 + M - M\Omega_2) c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} , M = \frac{U}{c} ,$$

 Ω_1 and Ω_2 are functions of M and γ as defined in an earlier paper⁸.

(ii) On the wall: The shape of the curved boundary is given by

$$y = -\epsilon f(x_1) = -\epsilon \frac{x_1^2}{2}$$
(3)

where ϵ is small and $x_1 = x + (U_0 - U) t$. Equation (3) represents a parabola of very large latus rectum $(2/\epsilon)$ with vertex at the origin.

Then

$$\begin{cases} f'(x_1) = x_1 \\ f''(x_1) = 1 \\ f''(x_1) = 0 \\ \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x_1 > 0 \qquad (4) \\ \text{for } x_1 < 0 \\ \end{cases}$$

and

where the prime means the differentiation with respect to the whole argument. Therefore,

$$v^{(1)} (x < Ut, y = 0, t) = -(U_0 - U) f'(x_1)$$
(6)

Using equation of motion in $v^{(1)}$ we get

$$p_{y}^{(1)} (x < Ut, y = 0, t) = \rho (U_0 - U)^2 H [x + (U_0 - U) t]$$
where \overline{H} is the Heaviside unit step function. (7)

(iii) On the mach shock :

$$p^{(1)} = 0$$
 as $\sqrt{x^2 + \overline{y^2}} \rightarrow \infty$ (8)

(iv) Initial conditions: $p^{(1)} (x \leq Ut, y \geq 0, t \leq 0) = 0$ $p^{(1)} (x \leq Ut, y \geq 0, t \leq 0) = 0$ (10)

ANALYTIC SOLUTION

Using the method given in reference 3 we get the perturbed pressure in the non-uniform region as

$$p^{(1)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{t}) = \frac{\rho c^2 A_0}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\bar{t} - \bar{y}} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{\bar{H}\left[\bar{a}\left(\tau + \bar{\lambda}_0 \xi\right)\right]}{K\left(\tau, \xi\right)} d\xi$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{2,3} \frac{\rho c^2 A_i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\bar{t} - [\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\bar{x} + [(\bar{t} - \tau)^2 - \bar{y}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{0}^{\bar{x} + [(\bar{t} - \tau)^2 - \bar{y}^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\xi \quad (11)$$

60

where A_0 , A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , λ_0 , λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , and a are known functions of U_0 , U, c and γ as given in reference 8, x, y, t are Lorentz coordinates and

$$K(\tau,\xi) = [(t-\tau)^2 - (x-\xi)^2 - y^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

On integration, eqn. (11) gives

$$p^{(1)}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{t}) = \frac{\rho c^3}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{2,3,4} A_i \left[\bar{x} \cosh^{-1} \frac{\bar{t}}{[\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^3]^4} - \frac{\bar{y}}{2} \cos^{-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\bar{x}^3 \bar{t}^2}{(\bar{t}^2 - \bar{y}^2)(\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2)} \right\} - M_i - y N_i \right]$$
(12)

where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{4} &= -\mathcal{A}_{0}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{4} = -\bar{\lambda}_{0} \\ \mathcal{M}_{i} &= \frac{\bar{r}_{i}}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\lambda}_{i}^{2}}} \cos^{-1} \frac{|\bar{x} - \bar{\lambda}_{i} \bar{t}|}{[\bar{r}_{i}^{2} + (\bar{\lambda}_{i}^{2} - 1) \bar{y}^{3}]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{for } \bar{\lambda}_{i} < 1 \\ &= \frac{\bar{r}_{i}}{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}_{i}^{3} - 1}} \cosh^{-1} \frac{|\bar{x} - \bar{\lambda}_{i} \bar{t}|}{[\bar{r}_{i}^{2} + (\bar{\lambda}_{i}^{2} - 1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{for } \bar{\lambda}_{i} > 1, \\ \mathcal{N}_{i} &= [\bar{r}_{i}^{2} - \bar{y}^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos^{-1} Q \quad \text{for } \bar{r}_{i} > \bar{y} \\ &= [\bar{y}^{2} - \bar{r}_{i}^{2}] \cosh^{-1} Q \quad \text{for } \bar{y} > \bar{r}_{i}, \\ &3.0 - 1 \\ &1 \\ &1 \\ &1 \\ &1 \\ &1 \\ &0 \\ &L.E. \\ &X/ct \\ & \\ &X/ct \\ \end{split}$$







Fig. 3-The pressure distribution on the wall for $p/p_0 = 7.3036$, The solid curve is for the curved boundary and the broken curve is for the wedge.



$$Q = \frac{\left[\left(\bar{\lambda_i}^2 + 1\right)\bar{y^2} - \bar{r_i}^2\right]\left(\bar{t^2} - \bar{r_i}^2\right) - 2\bar{\lambda_i}^2 \bar{x}^2 \bar{y}^2}{\left(\bar{t^2} - \bar{r_i}^2\right)\left[\left(\bar{\lambda_i}^2 - 1\right)\bar{y^2} + \bar{r_i}^2\right]}$$
$$\bar{r_i} = \bar{t} - \bar{\lambda_i} \bar{x}.$$

and

NUMERICAL RESULTS

The pressure distribution on the surface of the boundary has been calculated for different shocks $(p/p_0 = 3, 7.3076, 10)$ and the results are illustrated by Figs. 2, 3 and 4. The curves showing pressure distribution on wedge for corresponding shocks are given for comparison.

It has been found that the perturbed pressure at the leading edge of the curved boundary is finite when the relative airflow behind the shock is subsonic. This is due to the fact that there is zero slope at the point. This has been confirmed by Prof. L. Ting of New York University in a personal communication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Dr. R. S. Srivastava for his continued guidance throughout the preparation of this paper. I an thankful to Prof. L. Ting for his comments and to Dr. R. R. Aggarwal for very useful discussions. Finally my thanks are due to the Director, Defence Science Laboratory, Delhi for his kind permission to publish this paper.

REFERENCES

- BERGMANN, V., N.D.R.C., Report AMP 108-2R, 1945.
 LIGHTHILL, M. J., Proc. Roy. Soc., 198 A (1948), 454.
- 3. TING, L. & LUDLOFF, H. F., J. Aero. Sci., 19 (1952), 317.
- SMYBL, J. L., J. Fluid Mech., 15 (1963), 223.
 PACK, D. C., J. Fluid Mech., 18 (1964), 549.
- SRIVASTAVA, R. S., "Diffraction of Oblique Shock Waves". Aeronautical Research Council, U.K., Current Paper No. 612, 1962.
 SRIVASTAVA, R. S., "Diffraction of Blast Wave for the Oblique Case". Aeronautical Research Council,
- U.K. Current Paper No. 1008, 1968. 8. BISWAS, R. K. Def. Sci. J., 15 (1965), 79.