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ABSTRACT

The use of modern computer systems for calculating the aerophysical characteristics of supersonic bodies of revolution together with the calculation of the flight trajectory under conditions of continuously changing Mach and Reynolds numbers of the oncoming flow, the presence of transient regimes and non-isothermality in near-wall flows is often not possible due to the complexity of the organisation of the computational process. By using a number of integral methods for calculating inviscid flows and viscous compressible near-wall flows, taking into account the non isothermality and intermittency of the boundary layer, a method has been developed for calculating the friction and heating of supersonic bodies of revolution on the flight trajectory. On the basis of well-known flight experiments, the correlation dependences of the Reynolds numbers of the beginning of the transition on sharp cones were obtained and the method of corrections for spherical blunting of the head part was implemented. By calculating the intermittency function, the changeability of flow regimes in the boundary layer is established for a number of trajectories of the supersonic uncontrolled rocket projectile. Calculated dependences for aerodynamic friction, heat fluxes and projectile wall temperature on the flight time are obtained. The concept of combined calculation of aerophysical characteristics on the flight trajectory using numerical and integral methods is proposed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The currently existing software systems cannot provide the required accuracy of the aerophysical characteristics of high-Reynolds non-isothermal turbulent near-wall flows on the calculated flight path under conditions of continuously changing free stream parameters due to significant changes in flight altitude and speed, and aerodynamic heating of streamlined surfaces. The main difficulties are associated with modeling transient processes and non-isothermality in boundary layers. At the same time, the need to solve practical problems requires the calculation of aerophysical characteristics on the surfaces of various types of axisymmetric bodies of revolution in a wide range of sub-, trans-, and supersonic velocities. An important component in such calculations are friction and heating. To determine them, existing and newly developed software systems are used, which allow numerical simulation under conditions of a laminar-turbulent transition.1-4

The most recognized and frequently used model of the laminar-turbulent transition is the γ-Reₘ SST model, which makes it possible to determine the position of the transition region in weakly compressible near-wall flows with a longitudinal pressure gradient. The use of this model for supersonic boundary layers is problematic because the model contains empirical correlations obtained at subsonic velocities. At the same time, the stabilising effect of compressibility on the transition in supersonic boundary layers has been shown by the results of many theoretical and experimental studies.6-10 The model also contradicts the well-known fact of the stabilizing effect of the unit Reynolds number on the transition,6-7,10-11 which is important for ballistic trajectories, where the unit Reynolds number of the oncoming flow can change significantly with height. A significant number of experimental and computational works demonstrate a significant effect of the spherical form of bluntness on the laminar-turbulent transition with the simultaneous stabilizing effect of the unit Reynolds number and flow compressibility.12,17

The current state of practice in calculating the aerodynamic characteristics of supersonic axisymmetric bodies of revolution on a flight trajectory stimulated the authors to develop a technique and an efficient short algorithm that allow calculating friction and heating together with calculating the trajectory parameters under conditions of continuously changing Mach and Reynolds numbers of the oncoming flow. For this, the existing integral methods for calculating inviscid compressible flows and viscous compressible near-wall flows were used, taking into account non isothermality, longitudinal pressure gradient and intermittency of the boundary layer, data from field flight experiments.

The purpose of this work is to describe the developed technique and based on it a computational study of the flow regimes and associated aerophysical characteristics of near-wall boundary layers on the head of an axisymmetric body of
revolution with a spherical bluntness of the type of an unguided missile during flight along a trajectory. The study was carried out on the basis of existing flight data on the onset of a laminar-turbulent transition on sharp cones depending on the Mach number and unit Reynolds number at the outer boundary of the bluntness on the onset of a laminar-turbulent transition. The Reynolds numbers of the beginning of the transition are corrected for the spherical bluntness of the head part by the relative entropy increment on the shock wave. To calculate the aerodynamic friction and heat transfer of the boundary layer, integral methods verified by flight experiments\(^6\text{--}^9\) were used. The turbulent viscosity was scaled by the intermittency function based on the empirical Chen-Tyson model modified during flight experiments\(^9\). The purpose of the study includes a comparative analysis of the obtained aerophysical characteristics and similar characteristics obtained using the CFD code for a viscous compressible fluid within the software package using the $\gamma$-Re$_{in}$ SST\(^3\) turbulence model.

2. METHODOLOGY

The values of the Reynolds numbers of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition necessary to establish the flow regime in the boundary layer were determined from the results of experiments on the flying sharp cones\(^6\text{--}^7\), on the supersonic rocket aerophysical complex "Cloud" under the conditions of an operating solid propellant engine\(^3\) and from experiments in wind tunnels to determine the Reynolds numbers the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition on cones with a spherical bluntness of the head part\(^1\text{--}^3\). Flight data on the values of the Reynolds number of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition $Re_{e, tr}$ for the Mach number $M_e$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer within the limits $0.5 < M_e < 2.0$ at zero angle of attack were taken from report NASA\(^6\). In NASA's flight experiment\(^6\) the $10^\degree$ cone was installed in front of the nose of the F-15 aircraft. The reference\(^20\) contains the photo of the experimental cone shown in Fig. 1(a). The values of the Reynolds number of the end of the laminar-turbulent transition $Re_{e, t}$ obtained during the flight experiment for the Mach number

![Flight data on laminar-turbulent transition on sharp cones: (a) experimental cone\(^6\text{--}^7\); (b) Reynolds numbers $Re_{e, t}$ of the end of the transition for $0.5 < M_e < 2.0$; (c) Reynolds numbers $Re_{e, tr}$ of the beginning of the transition on sharp cones\(^3\); and (d) data on laminar boundary layer stability on sharp cones\(^3\).]
M_0 at the outer boundary of the boundary layer at zero angle of attack are shown in Fig. 1(b). Using data about the average value of the ratio of the Reynolds number of the beginning of the transition \( R_{e,t} \) to the Reynolds number of the end of the transition \( R_{e,t} \) at a zero angle of attack as a function of the Mach number \( M_e \) at the outer boundary of the boundary layer, it was assumed that \( R_{e,t} = 0.85 R_{e,t} \).

Flight data on the values of the Reynolds number of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition at the outer boundary of the boundary layer \( R_{e,t} \) within the Mach numbers \( 2.0 \leq M_e \leq 3.5 \) were taken from study and are shown in Fig. 1(c).

The unified correlation of data on the change in the Reynolds number of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition on sharp cones at small angles of attack \( R_{e,t}[R/cm] \) in Fig. 1(c) depending on the Mach number \( M_e \) and the unit Reynolds number \( R/cm \) in Fig. 1(c) at the outer boundary of the boundary layer is compared with a similar correlation of data on the transition in wind tunnels. In the lower part of the figure, the boundary values of the ranges of variation of the unit Reynolds number \( R/cm \) corresponding to individual correlations \( R/cm \) are indicated. Shown in Fig. 1(b) and in Fig. 1(c) flight data has been included in a number of reviews of flight experiments on laminar-turbulent transition,

for example in 20-21. In Fig. 1(d) shows a fragment of a figure from a review, which shows generalised flight and calculated data on the stability of the laminar boundary layer on sharp cones. The figure simultaneously presents the results of flight experiments, supplemented by the results of Malik’s calculations on the stability of the laminar boundary layer.

In this case, the form of the calculated stability curve in the region of Mach numbers \( 0.5 \leq M_e \leq 3.5 \) is important. The presented dependence shows a decrease in the stability of the boundary layer on the cone at Mach numbers on its outer boundary \( M_e < 2.0 \) and the presence of a local minimum at \( M_e \approx 3.5 \).

The results of flight experiments on the laminar-turbulent transition on the sharp cones were processed and approximated by a number of correlation dependences of the Reynolds number of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition \( R_{e,t} \) on the Mach number \( M_e \) and the unit Reynolds number \( R/cm \) at the outer boundary of the boundary layer. The resulting dependences \( R_{e,t}(M_e) \) are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b) as a series of correlation curves.

Correlation curves 1, 2, 3, 4 have their origin, respectively, at points a, b, c, d, e [Fig. 2(b)] and were set by the Table 1 as correlations of flight dependences \( R/cm \) on \( M_e \) for four ranges of values of the unit Reynolds number \( R/cm \). Each correlation \( R/cm(M_e) \) in Fig. 2 corresponds to the average value of one

---

**Table 1. Numerical values of correlation dependences \( R/cm(M_e) \) on sharp cones**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation number</th>
<th>( M_e )</th>
<th>( R/cm ) × 10^6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.625</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 2. Correlations for the free-flight Reynolds number \( R/cm \) for sharp cones.**

---

---

---

---
of the ranges of values Re_{e,1} in the study. Correlation 1 matches meaning Re_{e,1}=7.65\cdot10^7, correlation 2–Re_{e,1}=2.75\cdot10^7, correlation 3–Re_{e,1}=1.1\cdot10^7, correlation 4–Re_{e,1}=4.0\cdot10^6. The location of the correlation curves in Fig. 2 corresponds to an increase in the Reynolds number Re_{e,1} of the beginning of the transition with growth Re_{e,1}. This trend is in line with research findings, for example\textsuperscript{13–15}. In addition, each of the correlation curves 1–4 in Fig. 2 has a local minimum at M_e \approx 3.5, which correlates with the results of the linear theory of boundary layer stability, for example\textsuperscript{22–23}.

The use in this work of the results of flight experiments on sharp cones for calculating the characteristics of the boundary layer on a body of rotation with a small spherical bluntness is substantiated by the results of a number of theoretical and experimental studies. The results of some studies\textsuperscript{13–17} provide a basis for separately taking into account the influence of the unit Reynolds number and the bluntness radius when determining the beginning of the transition. The results of the experimental study\textsuperscript{15} at the Mach number of the oncoming flow M_e =6.0 in the range of the unit Reynolds number Re_{e,1}=5.79\cdot10^5–5.66\cdot10^5 make it possible to determine the increase in the Reynolds number of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition \Delta Re_{e,1} at the radius of the spherical blunting of the model R=0.5; 1.0; 8.0; 10.0; 12.0; 14.0 mm compared to sharp cones, Fig. 3(a). The result of the approximation and further extrapolation of the study data\textsuperscript{15} on the cone with the spherical blunt radius of R = 4.0 millimeters \Delta Re_{e,1}(\Delta Re_{e,1},R_{sh}) on the interval of unit Reynolds numbers Re_{e,11} in the study\textsuperscript{15} is shown in Fig. 3(b).

In order to take into account the effect of the bluntness radius at the Mach numbers of the oncoming supersonic flow other than M_e = 6.0, the value of the relative entropy increment at the shock wave was used in the form K_e = \Delta S/\Delta S_o, where \Delta S is the entropy increment on the shock wave at the current Mach numbers M_e of the in-stream flow, \Delta S_o is the increment of entropy at the shock wave at the Mach number M_e = 6.0. The corresponding increments of entropy \Delta S_o = \Delta S \cdot R = \text{Rln}(v_0)$ and \Delta S_o = \text{Rln}(v_0)$ can be calculated at the dependences containing the values of the recovery coefficient of the total pressure on the shock wave $v_0 = p'_0/p_0$ at the current Mach numbers $M_e$ and $v_0 = (p'_0/p_0)$ at $M_e = 6.0$, where $p'_0$ – the total pressure behind the disconnected shock wave in front of the blunt body, $p_0$ – the total pressure in the freestream\textsuperscript{3}. The real process of increasing entropy ($\Delta S \neq 0$) corresponds to a supersonic flow ($M_e \geq 1.0$). In this case, at $M_e \geq 1.0$, $K_e = \Delta S/\Delta S_o = \text{Rln}(v_0)$; the value of the relative increment of entropy at the shock wave takes on a value $K_e = 1$ at $M_e = 6.0$ and $K_e = 0$ at $M_e = 1.0$.

The total pressure $p'_0$ can be found as the pressure at the point of complete stagnation at the (critical point) of a blunt surface located behind the direct shock wave. In this case, the expression for the recovery factor of the total pressure on the shock has the form\textsuperscript{24} ($M_e = M_e \geq 1.0, M_e$ – Mach number of the supersonic flow ahead of the shock wave)

\begin{equation}
    v_0 = p'_0/p_0 = \left\{ \left( \frac{(k+1)M_e^2}{2 + (k-1)M_e^2} \right) \right\}^{k/(k-1)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{k + 1}{2kM_e^2 - (k - 1)} \right] \right\}^{1/(k - 1)}
\end{equation}

Natural logarithms of the recovery factor of the total pressure at the direct shock wave at $k = 1.4$ and $M_e \geq 1.0$ will be equal to:

\begin{equation}
    \text{ln}(v_0) = 3.51 \text{ln}\left(6M_e^2/\left(5 + M_e^2\right)\right) + 2.51 \text{ln}\left(6/\left(7M_e^2 - 1\right)\right); \text{ln}(v_0) = -3.518
\end{equation}

The Reynolds number of the beginning of the transition, calculated from the parameters at the outer boundary of the boundary layer, taking into account corrections for the spherical bluntness of the head part, was determined as follows:

\begin{equation}
    R_{e,1} = (R_{e,1})_{sh} + \Delta(R_{e,1})_{sh} \cdot R_{sh} \cdot M_e \cdot K_s
\end{equation}

To calculate the local coefficients of friction and heat transfer of the boundary layers on the calculated flight trajectory, the dependences verified during the flight experiment were used. In particular, to obtain the calculated values of the local coefficients of friction $C_f$ and heat transfer $\alpha_q$, on the surface of the rocket complex\textsuperscript{19}, taking into account non isothermality and compressibility in the case of a laminar boundary layer,
the known dependences for the longitudinal flow around a flat plate were used:

\[
C_{fL}/2 = C_{fL0}/2 \cdot \psi^{0.11} \cdot \psi^* - 0.04
\]

\[
C_{fL0}/2 = 0.332/\sqrt{Re_{x,e}} \cdot \alpha_L = St_L \cdot \rho_e \cdot u_e \cdot \rho_{pe} \cdot \varepsilon
\]

\[
St_L = 0.332/\sqrt{Re_{x,e}} \cdot \sqrt{\Psi_L / Pr^{2/3}} ; \; \Psi_L = \psi^{0.22} \cdot \psi^* - 0.08
\]

where, \( C_{fL}/2 \) — local coefficient of friction for the laminar boundary layer of incompressible fluid on the flat heat-insulated plate; \( Re_{x,e} = (\rho_e \cdot u_e \cdot X)/\mu_e \) — Reynolds number at the outer boundary of the boundary layer, determined taking into account the existing pressure gradient; \( St_L \) — Stanton number for laminar boundary layer; \( \rho_e, u_e, \mu_e \) — density, velocity and coefficient of dynamic viscosity at the outer boundary of the boundary layer; \( \psi, \psi^* \) — parameters that take into account the non-isothermal nature of the flow around a flat plate by a laminar boundary layer; \( \psi = T_w / T_{e,at} \) — temperature factor, \( \psi^* = T_w / T_{e} \) — kinetic temperature factor; \( T_e \) — temperature at the outer boundary of the boundary layer; \( T_{e,at} = T_e \cdot [1 + T_e \cdot (k-1)/2 \cdot M_e^2] \) — equilibrium wall temperature in the case of a laminar boundary layer, determined from the parameters \( T_e \) and \( M_e \) at the outer boundary of the boundary layer; \( T_F = \sqrt{Pr} \) — Prandtl number; \( k = 1.4 \) — adiabatic index; \( M_e \) — Mach number at the outer boundary of the boundary layer.

To calculate the local coefficients of friction \( C_f \) and heat transfer \( \alpha_e \) in the boundary layer on the surface of the rocket complex in case of the turbulent boundary layer, the integral method was used based on the results of the asymptotic theory of a turbulent boundary layer.

\[
C_{fT}/2 = C_{fT0}/2 \cdot (\Psi_M \cdot \Psi_T)^{0.8} \cdot (\mu_{w}/\mu_e)^{0.2}
\]

\[
C_{fT0}/2 = 0.0288 \cdot Re_{x,e}^{0.2} ; \; \Psi_T = St_T \cdot \rho_e \cdot u_e \cdot \rho_{pe} \cdot \varepsilon
\]

\[
St_T = C_{fT}/2 \cdot Pr^{2/3}
\]

where \( \Psi_M \) and \( \Psi_T \) — relative laws of frictional resistance, taking into account, respectively, compressibility and non-isothermality in the boundary layer.

The calculation of local values of the heat transfer coefficient \( \alpha \) under conditions of a laminar-turbulent transition was carried out using the theory of turbulent spots. In work based on a generalization of the results of experimental studies of the laminar-turbulent transition in supersonic wind tunnels, the dependence of the rate of formation of turbulent spots on the Reynolds number of the transition and on the Mach number was found. The expression is obtained for the intermittency function of the laminar-turbulent low-gradient boundary layer on a thermally insulated surface of axisymmetric bodies of rotation. In the work, a modified formula for the intermittency function \( \gamma \) is given in the form:
\[ \gamma = 1.0 - \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{3.507}{A^2} \right) \Re_{e,U} \left( \frac{u_e}{u_{e,h}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\mu_{e,U}}{\mu_{e,h}} \right)^2 \right\} \]

\[ \left( \frac{\mu_{e,U}}{\mu_{e,h}} \right)^2 \left( \Re_{e,U} - \Re_{e,h} \right)^2 \] (7)

where, \( \Re_{e,U} \) and \( \Re_{e,h} \) are the Reynolds numbers at the boundary layer; \( \gamma \) – function of intermittency according to dependence (7).

In the laminar-turbulent transition zone, the calculation of the local friction coefficient \( C_f \), the Stanton number \( St \) and the heat transfer coefficient \( \alpha \) was carried out using a linear-combination model of Narasimha\(^2\) tested in a flight experiment\(^10\) for the case of a weakly gradient flow:

\[ C_f = C_{f,l} (1 - \gamma) + C_{f,t} \cdot \gamma, \quad St = St_{l} (1 - \gamma) + St_{t} \cdot \gamma, \]

\[ \alpha = \alpha_{l} (1 - \gamma) + \alpha_{t} \cdot \gamma \] (8)

where, \( C_{f,l} \), \( St_{l} \), \( \alpha_{l} \) and \( C_{f,t} \), \( St_{t} \), \( \alpha_{t} \) are the local coefficients of friction, Stanton numbers and heat transfer coefficients, respectively, for laminar and turbulent flow in the boundary layer; \( \gamma \) – function of intermittency according to dependence (7). Aerodynamic heating of the surface of the projectile on the calculated flight trajectory was carried out using the dependencies verified by the flight experiment. In the study\(^1\) the calculations of the wall temperature of aero physical missile complex “Cloud” were carried out on the basis of a physical model of the “thin wall” and the corresponding differential heat balance eqn: \( \rho_w c_w \delta_w \cdot dT_w / dx = \alpha \cdot (T_{r,c} - T_w) - \varepsilon_w \cdot \sigma_0 \cdot T_w^4 \)

where, \( \rho_w \), \( c_w \), \( \delta_w \), \( T_w \) – respectively, density, heat capacity, wall thickness, wall temperature, \( \varepsilon_w \) – degree of emissivity of the surface of the head part; \( \sigma_0 \) – Stefan-Boltzmann constant; \( T_{r,c} \) – equilibrium wall temperature, determined from the parameters at the outer boundary of the boundary layer; \( \alpha \) – coefficient of heat transfer by convection to the streamlined surface. According to the “thin wall” model, the wall material is heated evenly over the entire thickness. In the case of a continuous filling of the space inside the body of a flight object with a dense substance and a short-term process of aerodynamic heating, the filling substance can be considered as a heat insulator for a thin wall of the object’s body, and the

**Figure 5.** Geometric parameters and type of computational domain: at subsonic and transonic velocities (a, c); at supersonic velocities (b, d); fragment of the computational grid (e).
heat balance equation can be used in the same form. According to the algorithm used, the calculated projectile surface was divided along the length into sections 0.1 m wide. Within each section, the flow parameters at the outer boundary of the boundary layer and the wall temperature were considered constant and were calculated at each numerical integration step together with the flight trajectory parameters. The “thin wall” model was used in the absence of heat exchange between adjacent sections of the surface of the projectile. The wall thickness was 2.0 mm. The wall material is steel.

The calculated trajectory of the projectile was determined by numerical integration over time of the system of equations of motion in the active and passive flight segments. For the calculation, the consumption and thrust characteristics of the engine of the M21-OF projectile of the BM-21 Grado rocket system were taken.

The coefficients of the acting aerodynamic forces of frictional drag, pressure drag, bottom drag, necessary for calculating the trajectory of flight, were preliminarily determined from the outer contours of the projectile (Fig. 4) depending on the Mach number of the oncoming flow $M_e$ by numerical integration of the Navier-Stokes equations in the range sub-, trans- and supersonic velocities. The system of ordinary nonlinear differential equations used, which includes the motion equations of the body of rotation along a trajectory and the eqn. of heating a “thin wall” of its surface, was solved numerically by the Runge-Kutta method ($h=0.05$ of sec.).

The calculation of the parameters on the outer boundary of the boundary layer at each calculated point of the trajectory within each section of the projectile surface was carried out in the following sequence. First, by numerical integration of the system of differential equations of the projectile motion, the height and velocity of its flight were determined. The Mach number of the oncoming flow was determined from the known flight velocity and the parameters of the standard atmosphere at the known flight altitude. Next, the distribution of the pressure coefficient $\bar{P} = (p_s - p_e)/q_e$ along the surface of the projectile was calculated ($p_s$ is the pressure at the outer boundary of the boundary layer, $q_e$ is the velocity head of the counter flow). The calculation $\bar{P}$ was carried out at supersonic and subsonic flight velocity by solving the equation for the velocity perturbation potential $\varphi$ when a compressible fluid flows around a thin body in a linear approximation using the sources method. For known values of $M_e$, $p_s$, and $\bar{P}$, the values of pressure $p_s$ and Mach number $M_e$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer were calculated taking into account the losses at the shock wave. Then, using the known isentropic relations $^{24-25}$, the density $\rho_s$ and the temperature $T_s$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer were calculated. For known values of $p_s$, $\rho_s$, and $T_s$, the velocity of sound $a_s$ and viscosity $\mu_s$, and then the velocity $u_s$ and the Reynolds number $Re_{ue}$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer were determined.

Subsonic and supersonic flow around a projectile was simulated by the ANSYS CFX software package using the $\gamma$-Re$_{ue}$ SST$^{30}$ turbulence model. The geometry of the research object was created in the Ansys Design Modeler module. In Fig. 4(b) shows fragments of computer visualization of the research object. Two geometries of the computational domain were created. The first geometry is for studying subsonic and transonic flow [Fig. 5(a,c)], the second is for studying supersonic flow [Fig. 5(b,d)]. The “Enter” conditions were set at the boundaries marked in red, the “Exit” conditions – at the boundaries marked in blue. The fundamental difference between the created geometries of the computational domains is that for subsonic and transonic flow it is necessary to ensure attenuation of acoustic waves before the “Enter” boundary conditions. When constructing the computational domain for supersonic flow, the requirement that a shock wave should not be superimposed on the “Enter” boundary conditions was taken into account. The computational grids were created in the Ansys Meshing module and consisted of tetrahedra for the main flow and prisms for the boundary layer.

When constructing computational grids, the following quality criteria were ensured. The minimum cell node angle (Orthogonal Angle) $\geq 20^\circ$. The extent of the cell (the ratio of the length of the maximum edge to the length of the smallest edge of the cell) in percentage (Aspect Ratio) $< 1000$. The maximum relative increase in a cell in a nearby layer, reflected in percentage (Expansion Factor) $< 100$. Setting up the computational grid in the boundary layer. First Layer Height $\leq 1.2e^{-3}$ mm. Number of layers $= 50$. Growth Rate $= 1.17$. General parameters of the computational grid for subsonic and transonic flow: Total Number of Nodes $= 6598158$; Total Number of Elements $= 16468498$; General parameters of the computational grid for supersonic flow: Total Number of Nodes $\leq 10173776$; Total Number of Elements $\leq 25733671$. Setting the boundary conditions “Wall”: Smooth wall, Adiabatic. The fragment of the computational grid in the area of the head part of the object is shown in Fig. 5(e). The value of the time integration step in the studied range of Mach numbers varied for each Mach number from 0.0075 s at $M_e=0.1$ to 7.5e-6 s at $M_e=2.8$. The values of the $y^+$ parameter were controlled in the Results module and on most of the projectile surface did not exceed the value 2.0 recommended for the SST turbulence model$^{30}$.

3. RESULT

Figyre 6(a) shows the calculated dependences of the Mach number $M_e$ of the oncoming flow on the flight time $T$ for various values of the angle of inclination of the launch guides $0^\circ$, $15^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $45^\circ$. In Fig. 6(b) shows the calculated dependences of the distribution of the pressure coefficient $\bar{P}$ along the length of the projectile. In Fig. 6(c) shows the calculated dependences of the static pressure $P_s$ on the flight time $T$ along the trajectory for three points on the surface of the projectile, indicating the existence of a negative pressure gradient on the surface of the projectile. Similar calculated dependences for temperature $T_s$, and velocity $u_s$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer are shown in Fig. 6(d) and Fig. 6(e). The calculated dependences of $Re_{ue}$ on time $T$ at three points along the length of the projectile are shown in Fig. 6(f). With known flow parameters at the outer boundary of the boundary layer, the calculation of the Mach number $M_e$ and unit Reynolds number $Re_{ue}$ at its outer boundary was performed. Figure 7 shows the dependencies illustrating the results of calculations to determine the flow regimes in the boundary layer. Figure 7(a) and Fig. 7(b) shows
Figure 6. Flow parameters at the outer boundary of the boundary layer, (a) Mach number $M_e$ from the flight time $T$ at the angles of inclination of the starting guides $\theta_e=15^\circ(1)$, $\theta_e=30^\circ(2)$, $\theta_e=45^\circ(3)$, (b) Pressure coefficient $\bar{P}$ along the length of the projectile at different Mach numbers (1–$M_r=1.2$; 2–$M_r=1.6$; 3–$M_r=2.0$), (c) static pressure $P_e$ from the flight time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m); (d) temperature $T_e$ from the flight time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m); (e) velocity $u_e$ from the flight time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m); and (f) Reynolds number $Re_{\kappa,e}$ from the flight time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m).
Figure 7. Dependencies illustrating the results of calculations related to the determination of flow regimes in the boundary layer, a) Mach number $M_c$ from the time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m); (b) Unit Reynolds number $Re_{c,1}$ from the time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m); (c) Reynolds number of the beginning of the transition $Re_{c, tr}$ from the time $T$ (1–$X=0.24$ m; 2–$X=0.34$ m; 3–$X=0.44$ m); (d) intermittency function $\gamma$ from the time $T$ for $X = 0.340$ m (1 – $\theta_0 = 15^\circ$; 2 – $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, 3 – $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$); (e) Intermittency function $\gamma$ from the time of flight $T$ (1 – $X = 0.24$ m; 2 – $X = 0.34$ m; 3 – $X = 0.44$ m); and (f) intermittency function $\gamma$ from the Mach number $M_c$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer ($X = 0.34$ m).
the corresponding calculated dependences of the Mach number $M_i$ and the unit Reynolds number $Re_{e,i}$ at three points along the length of the projectile on the time $T$ of its flight along the trajectory. With known values of the numbers $M_i$ and $Re_{e,i}$, according to the correlation dependences of Table 1, the value of the Reynolds number $(Re_{e,i})_0$ of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition at a point on the surface of the head part in the form of a pointed cone was determined.

Reynolds number of the transition, taking into account corrections for the spherical bluntness of the head part $Re_{e,fr}$, was calculated from dependence (3). In Fig. 7(c) shows the calculated dependences of the transition Reynolds number $Re_{e,fr}$ at the same three points along the length of the projectile on the flight time $T$. The obtained values of $Re_{e,fr}$ were used to determine the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition zone and calculate the intermittency coefficient $\gamma$ in this zone using formula (7). The calculated data on the change in the intermittency function $\gamma$ when the projectile moves along the trajectory in the presence of aerodynamic heating is advisable to use to establish aerophysical effects for this object on the streamlined surface. In particular, in Fig. 7(d) shows the calculated dependences of the intermittency function $\gamma$ at a projectile surface point with a longitudinal coordinate $X = 340$ mm on time $T$ during flight along three calculated trajectories with initial angles of inclination of the launch guides $\theta_0 = 15^\circ, 30^\circ, 45^\circ$.

Curves 1 and 2, corresponding to $\theta_0 = 15^\circ$ and $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$, show the moments of transition to subsonic flight velocity. The values of the intermittency coefficient $0 < \gamma < 1.0$ correspond to the transitional flow regime in the boundary layer. In Fig. 7(e) shows the calculated dependences of the intermittency coefficient $\gamma$ of the near-wall boundary layer on the flight time $T$ along the trajectory for three points on the surface of the projectile head part at the angle of inclination of the launch guides $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$. In Fig. 7(f) shows the calculated dependence of the intermittency function $\gamma$ on the Mach number $M_i$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer at the point of the projectile surface with the coordinate $X = 0.340$ m when the projectile is flying along the trajectory with the angle of inclination of the launch guides $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$. It can be seen that at the point with the coordinate $X = 0.340$ m during a significant part of the flight time, the processes of laminar-turbulent transition and relaminarisation in the boundary layer occur. The reason for the relaminarisation and the subsequent “reverse” of the laminar-turbulent transition is a decrease in the Reynolds number at the outer boundary of the boundary layer below the critical one and its subsequent growth under the conditions of a “natural” transition. This is due to the ascent to an altitude with a simultaneous decrease in flight velocity and further return to denser layers of the atmosphere with a simultaneous increase in flight velocity. The use of formula (7) for the intermittency function $\gamma$ of the near-wall boundary layer both in the zone of the laminar-turbulent transition and relaminarisation, firstly, can be justified by the existence of the moderate negative pressure gradient on the surface of the head part of the projectile. Second, by a continuous monotonic change in the parameters of the freestream over relatively long periods of flight time along the trajectory.

Figure 8 illustrates the effect of spherical bluntness on the Reynolds number $Re_{e,fr}$ of the beginning of a laminar-turbulent transition. In Fig. 8(a) shows the dependence of the relative increment of entropy $K_s = \Delta S/\Delta S$ at the head shock wave during flight along the trajectory on the Mach number $M_i$. In Fig. 8(b) shows the dependence of the increment of the Reynolds number $\Delta Re_{e,fr}$ of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition from the flight time $T$ (point $X = 340$ mm).

Due to the intermittency of the flow in the boundary layer, the calculation of the local values of the friction and heat transfer in the transition zone was carried out according to dependencies (8). The change in the Stanton number $St$ during the flight time $T$ at three calculated points of the projectile surface at $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$ is shown in Fig. 9(a). In Fig. 9(b) shows the calculated dependences of the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha$ on the flight time $T$ on the projectile surface. As can be seen, the highest values of the heat transfer coefficient $\alpha$ reaches at the end of the active section of the trajectory at the point with the coordinate $X = 340$ mm. In Fig. 9(c) shows the dependences of the projectile wall temperature $T_w$ from the flight time, obtained
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**Figure 8.** Influence of spherical bluntness on the Reynolds number $Re_{e,fr}$ on the calculated flight trajectory for bluntness radius $R=4$ mm, a) relative increment of the entropy $K_s$; and (b) Increment $\Delta Re_{e,fr}$ Reynolds number from the Mach number $M_i Re_{e,fr}$ from time $T$ ($X = 0.34$ m).
by integrating of the differential equation of the “thin wall”. The calculation results show that up to approximately the 10-th second of the flight, the surface of the projectile heats up at all control points. When higher and colder layers of the atmosphere are reached with a simultaneous decrease in flight velocity, the surface of the projectile cools, Fig. 9(c). In Fig. (d) the calculated dependences of the heat flux $q_w$ to the streamlined surface at three of its points on the time $T$ of the projectile’s flight along the trajectory at $\theta_o=45^\circ$. It can be seen that after approximately the 7-th second of flight, the heat flux $q_w$ to the streamlined surface becomes negative.

The calculation of the aerodynamic friction resistance, in contrast to the calculation of the aerodynamic heating of the surface of the projectile, was carried out along the entire length of its head part for a number of fixed values of the Mach numbers $M_w$ of the oncoming flow. The calculation was performed on the active part of the flight trajectory with an increase in the Mach number $M_w$. In Fig. 10(a) the distribution of the local coefficient of friction $C_f$ along the length of the projectile head part at various Mach numbers $M_w$ of the counter subsonic and supersonic flow on the calculated flight trajectory with the initial angle of inclination of the launch guides $\theta_o=45^\circ$. Fig. 10(a) shows the stabilizing effect of the unit Reynolds number of the oncoming flow. With an increase in the Mach number $M_w$, the beginning of the transition practically does not shift towards the nose of the head part but is localised in a rather narrow region of its surface. This is explained by the fact that the values of the unit Reynolds number $Re_{o}$ of the flow at the outer boundary of the boundary layer continuously increase on the active part of the trajectory. On the other hand, this growth is somewhat restrained by the increasing values of the Mach numbers $M_w$ at the outer boundary of the boundary layer. Figure 10(b) illustrates the influence of spherical bluntness on the position of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition zone, which shows the calculated dependences for the local friction coefficient $C_f$ along the length of the head part at $M_w=1.8$. Curve 1 corresponds to a pointed head part, curve 2 corresponds to a spherical bluntness with radius $R=4$ millimeters. The closeness of curves 1 and 2 indicates a slight influence of this spherical bluntness on the position of the
beginning of the transition at $M_e=1.8$. At the same time, curve 3 shows the shift of the beginning of the transition on the head part downstream at the Mach number of the of the counterflow $M_e=6.0$ and maintaining the value of the bluntness radius $R=4.0$ mm.

When the simulation by the ANSYS CFX software package and $\gamma$-Re$_{e}$ SST turbulence model$^{[33]}$, recommendations were used for choosing the Reynolds number of the beginning of the transition along the thickness of the momentum loss $Re_e$, during the flight of bodies in the atmosphere$^{[3]}$ and the characteristics of the atmospheric turbulence intensity along the height$^{[31]}$. Turbulence intensity at the “Enter” boundary (Fraction Intensity) was 0.1. The calculations were carried out at flow parameters corresponding to standard atmospheric conditions. Mach numbers were modeled by changing the velocity of the oncoming flow within $0.1<M_e<2.8$. This was accompanied by a proportional change in the values of the unit Reynolds number of the oncoming flow within $2.3\cdot10^5\leq Re_{e,1}\leq 6.5\cdot10^7$. In Fig. 10(c) shows the results of numerical calculations of shear stress along the length of the head part. It can be seen that at the same Mach numbers $M_e=1.2; M_e=1.6; M_e=1.8$, the zone of the laminar boundary layer has a much larger extent compared to the results of calculations by the integral method using flight data on the transition, Fig. 10(a).

As the Mach numbers of the counterflow increase, the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition zone noticeably shifts towards the nose of the head part. A similar result was obtained when determining the beginning of a laminar-turbulent transition based on the integral method using a correlation dependence generalizing flight experiments on Mach numbers $M_e$ at a constant value of the unit Reynolds number $Re_{e,1}$ (Fig. 2, Tab. 1, correlation 4). Thus, the influence of a change in the unit Reynolds number $Re_{e,1}$ on the value of the Reynolds number $Re_{e,1}$ at the beginning of the transition was excluded. In Fig. 10(d) shows the distribution of the local coefficient of friction $C_f$ along the length $S$ of the head part calculated by the integral method in the absence of the influence of the unit Reynolds number $Re_{e,1}$. Two points should
be noted. First, it can be seen that with an increase in the Mach number $M_\infty$ of the counterflow, the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition zone also shifts quite noticeably towards the nose of the head part. In this case, the absence of growth of unit Reynolds numbers $Re_{e_1}$, is manifested, which results in an accelerated advancement of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition zone to the toe of the streamlined body. Secondly, the length of the section of the head part, falling on the transition curves with the same Mach numbers of the countercurrent $M_\infty = 1.2, 1.6, 1.8$ in the numerical calculation turned out to be approximately twice as large. This, apparently, is a manifestation of the lack of influence of compressibility on the transition in the indicated range of Mach numbers. The first noted point indicates that the reason for the accelerated reduction in the length of the laminar section in the numerical calculation using the $\gamma-Re_e$, SST model of the laminar-turbulent transition is the absence in its empirical correlations of the mechanism for suppressing the influence of the input small-scale turbulence on the formation of instability waves in the boundary layer with increasing unit number Reynolds number of the oncoming flow. This model has proven itself well in calculating the flow around subsonic and transonic airfoils for various purposes at free flow turbulence levels from 0.1 to 1 % and higher. The imperfection of this transition model at low levels of free flow turbulence intensity is indicated in studies.

4. DISCUSSION

Numerical modeling of the aerophysical characteristics of axisymmetric bodies of rotation based on existing software systems has an undeniable advantage over integral methods for their calculation. In particular, in the presence of such structural elements as blunting of the head part, plume, bottom part, perforated by nozzles or jets of a running engine. At the same time, the reliability of the obtained simulation results is not guaranteed due to the lack of necessary data on the transient regimes of near-wall flows under conditions of significant changes in velocity and flight altitude. In order to develop reliable transient models within the framework of existing and newly developed CFD codes, the results of full-scale flight tests are needed. In this study, an attempt is made to generalize some known results of flight experiments on laminar-turbulent transition on axisymmetric bodies of rotation in the range of sub-, trans-, and supersonic velocities. These data are presented as a series of correlation dependences that determine the values of the Reynolds numbers of the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition on sharp cones depending on the Mach number and unit Reynolds number at the outer boundary of the boundary layer. The obtained correlation dependences, taking into account corrections for spherical bluntness, were used in calculating the aerophysical characteristics on the head part of a supersonic axisymmetric body of rotation of the type of an uncontrolled rocket projectile at velocities of $0.1 \leq M_\infty \leq 2.5$ and flight altitudes $H \leq 6300$ meters. As shown by the results of the work, integral methods for calculating the friction and heat transfer of boundary layers using the intermittency function and flight data on the laminar-turbulent transition make it possible to create effective algorithms for studying aerophysical characteristics on the head parts of supersonic axisymmetric bodies of rotation directly on the calculated trajectories.

Taking into account the mutual advantages and disadvantages of numerical modeling and integral methods, it is proposed to calculate the aerophysical characteristics of near-wall high-Reynolds flows on axisymmetric bodies of rotation of the type of an uncontrolled rocket projectile under trajectory flight conditions with significant changes in velocity and altitude in a combined way. The proposed concept is as follows. Using existing software systems, numerically calculate the coefficients of aerodynamic forces and moments for a series of Mach numbers of the oncoming flow $M_\infty$ under standard atmospheric conditions. Perform the calculation of a number of flight trajectories in the first approximation together with the calculation of aerophysical characteristics on the head part of the body of rotation. Calculations of aerophysical characteristics should be carried out by integral methods using correlations of flight data on the beginning of the laminar-turbulent transition and the intermittency function of the boundary layer. At the same time, for the selected series of trajectory points, fix the values of the Mach numbers $M_\infty$ and the unit Reynolds number $Re_{e_1}$ of the oncoming flow, as well as the corresponding values of the Reynolds numbers $Re_{e_l}$ of the beginning and end of the laminar-turbulent transition. For the fixed values of the Mach numbers $M_\infty$ and Reynolds numbers $Re_{e_1}$ of the oncoming flow, recalculate the aerophysical characteristics using software packages using the obtained values of the Reynolds numbers of the laminar-turbulent transition. Using the obtained values of the coefficients of aerodynamic forces and moments for a series of Mach numbers of the oncoming flow $M_\infty$ on the flight trajectories, perform the final calculation of the trajectories.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A technique has been developed for calculating friction and heating on the surface of supersonic bodies of revolution using a number of well-known integral methods for inviscid flows and viscous near-wall flows that take into account intermittency, compressibility, pressure gradient, and non-isothermality of the boundary layer. By means of well-known flight experiments, correlations were obtained for the Reynolds numbers of the beginning of the transition on sharp cones. A method for determining corrections to them by the relative increment of entropy on a shock wave for bodies of revolution with a spherical blunt head part is proposed and implemented. On the example of a supersonic unguided rocket, the possibility of using the developed method for calculating aerodynamic friction and heating of axisymmetric bodies of revolution together with the calculation of the flight trajectory is shown. The flow parameters required for this at the outer boundary of the boundary layer were calculated by solving the equation for the perturbation potential of the velocity of a compressible fluid in a linear approximation using the method of sources and known isentropic relations. The flow regimes in the boundary layer were determined based on the values of the intermittency function of the boundary layer. The values of the intermittency function were calculated from the dependency tested in the course of flight experiments.
The presence of a successive series of flow regimes such as laminar regime, laminar-turbulent transition, turbulent regime, relaminarization (reverse transition), again laminar regime and repeated laminar-turbulent transition has been established. The dependences of the coefficients of friction and heat transfer, heat fluxes and surface temperature along the length of the head part of the projectile during flight along the trajectory under conditions of changing flow regimes, compressibility and non-isothermal boundary layer are determined. Calculations of the projectile surface temperature were carried out by numerically solving the differential equation for heating a “thin wall”. The turbulent viscosity scaling with respect to the intermittency function based on the empirical model of Chen and Tyson in the Narasimha concept modified in the course of flight experiments can be used for both forward and reverse transitions on the head parts of axisymmetric supersonic bodies of revolution such as uncontrolled rocket projectile. Integral methods, together with flight data on the laminar-turbulent transition, can be used to test existing and newly created turbulence models for CFD codes.
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