# Modelling of Multi Inductor-based Balancing of Battery Pack for Electrical Mobility

Rigvendra Kumar Vardhan, T. Selvathai\*, Rajaseeli Reginald, and P. Sivakumar

DRDO-Combat Vehicles Research and Development Establishment, Chennai - 600 054, India \*E-mail: selvathai.t@cvrde.drdo.in

### ABSTRACT

The pre requisite for success of electrical mobility is driven by development of battery technologies. Reliable performance of electrical mobility necessitates for high energy density battery packs. The advent of Li ion cell chemistry revolutionised the electric and hybrid vehicle advancement due to its high energy density, lighter weight and wide range of temperature performance. Higher operating voltages of the battery are achieved by configuration of the cells in series and parallel combinations. The performance of these battery packs are affected by operating temperature and imperfections in manufacturability which causes mismatches in cell impedance, cell potential and state of charge (SOC) imbalance. These performance issues are overcome by cell and battery balancing techniques. In this paper, a dynamic battery pack balancing circuit by using multi inductor with SOC based logic controller for both cell and battery balancing are presented. The battery pack balancing performances during static, charging, discharging conditions are analysed.

Keywords: Battery module; Power MOSFET switch; PI controller; SOC-based switch logic controller

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Li ion cells are connected in series composition to form a battery module. Since, the individual cells in a battery hold different capacities and may be at different levels of SOC, the energy that can be charged and discharged from the battery pack is limited to the cell with the least capacity and it can be easily overcharged or over-discharged while cells with higher capacity may undergo only partial cycles. For the higher capacity cells to undergo full charge/discharge cycles without overcharging or over discharging any other cell in the battery pack, battery balancing is required<sup>1</sup>.

### 2. EQUALISATION TECHNIQUES

Battery balancing is done by transferring energy between individual cells, until the SOC of the cell with the lowest capacity is equal to the battery's SOC. The cells imbalance occurs due to mismatch in internal impedance, manufacturability, different self-discharge rate and thermal difference<sup>2</sup>. These parameter variations are overcome using balancing techniques. There are two type of balancing techniques (a) passive balancing and (b) active balancing. In the passive balancing techniques, the excess energy of the highest charged cell are dissipated into passive element i.e. resistor so called dissipative balancing. The active balancing techniques uses active element i.e. inductor, capacitor, and transformer etc. to transfer energy from the higher energy cell to the other lower energy cell hence known as non-dissipative balancing<sup>3</sup>. There are several balancing techniques proposed and reviewed in literature. Passive balancing is easy, reliable

Received : 31 August 2018, Revised : 05 March 2019 Accepted : 14 March 2019, Online published : 30 April 2019 and cheapest method but due to heat dissipation in passive element it shortens the battery backup time and increase the thermal issue<sup>4-6</sup>. Active equalisations are further divided into three categories based on the type of active element. Capacitive based balancing is compact, light weight and cheaper but balancing time is more<sup>7-8</sup>. Inductor based balancing circuits have fast balancing time and good efficiency but requires array of switches and precise control algorithms<sup>9-11</sup>. Transformer based balancing circuit have fast balancing speed and are suitable for HEV and EV application but it is expensive, bulkier, require complex control and also suffer from leakage flux & saturation problem<sup>12-15</sup>.

# 3. PROPOSED BATTERY BALANCING

Modelling of single inductor based dynamic battery balancing is presented by Rigvendra<sup>1</sup>, *et al.* to further improve the equalisation time dynamic equaliser circuit using multi inductor based balancing with state of charge (SOC) based switch controller and balancing current controller is proposed in this paper.

#### 3.1 Multi Inductor based Battery Balancing

The schematic diagram of multi inductor based balancing is as shown in Fig. 1. Here, the battery consists of a string of 4 cells  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  where each cell is connected with MOSFET switches for controlling the charge storage in the inductor based on the SOC. When the MOSFET switch is in OFF state, the stored energy of the inductor is transferred through the diode to the other cells for balancing. For n-cell battery balancing n-MOSFET switches, n-diodes and n-inductors are required.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of multi inductor based balancing circuit.

#### 3.2 Working Principle

Assuming that SOC of cell  $C_1$  is highest in the string then switch  $M_1$  is turned ON and current flows from  $C_1$  to  $L_1$  via switch  $M_1$  i.e. excess charge transferred from cell  $C_1$  to inductor as shown in dotted red line. When switch  $M_1$  is turned off then current flows from inductor  $L_1$  to Cell 2, 3, 4 via Diode  $D_1$  as shown by dotted blue lines in the circuit. Table 1 summarise the switching action and balancing current path of the multi inductor based balancing.

Table 1. Balancing path of the multi inductor equaliser

Highest	Switch	Balancing	current path
cell SOC		Switch ON period	Switch OFF period
Cell 1	$M_1$	$C_1 - M_1 - L_1$	$L_1 - D_1 - C_4 - C_3 - C_2$
Cell <sub>2</sub>	$M_2$	$C_2-M_2-L_2$	$L_2-C_1-D_2$
Cell <sub>3</sub>	$M_{3}$	C <sub>3</sub> -M <sub>3</sub> -L <sub>3</sub>	$L_3 - C_2 - C_1 - D_3$
Cell <sub>4</sub>	$M_4$	$C_4$ - $M_4$ - $L_4$	$L_4-C_3-C_2-C_1-D_4$

#### 3.3 SOC based Switch Logic Controller

SOC based switch logic controller is implemented in MATLAB. SOC of all the cells are taken as input to generate the switching signal to turn ON/OFF the corresponding MOSFET switch. The switching action is automatically controlled based on cell SOC comparisons and the switching action is stopped when the SOC difference between all cells is within 50 micron The SOC based switch logic controller algorithm flow chart is as shown in Fig. 2.

#### 3.4 Balancing Current in Continuous Current Mode

To maintain the balancing current between upper threshold and lower threshold values (10 % ripple of balancing current) continuous current mode (CCM) of operation is performed.

The waveform of CCM is as shown in Fig. 3. In this mode, the inductor current toggle between  $I_{\text{max}}$  and  $I_{\text{min}}$  during the period of switching signal.

In the Fig. 3,  $T_{on}$  denotes the ON period of switching signal that stores energy into the respective inductor from Cell which have higher SOC up to  $I_{max}$  current.  $T_{on}$  to T denotes the OFF period of switching signal that release the energy from inductor to other cell up to  $I_{min}$  current.

T denotes total time period of switching signal.



Figure 2. Flow chart of SOC based switch logic controller algorithm.



$$T = \frac{1}{f} \tag{1}$$

where *f* is switching frequency.

 $I_{avg}$  = Average inductor current

 $I_{\text{max}}^{\text{res}}$  = Maximum inductor current

 $I_{\min} =$  Minimum inductor current

So, the ripple current,  $\Delta i_L$  is

$$\Delta i_L = I_{\max} - I_{\min} \tag{2}$$

where

$$I_{\max} = \frac{V_n}{L_n} T_{on} = \frac{V_n}{L_n} \alpha T$$
(3)

where  $V_{\mu}$  is voltage of highest SOC cell in the string

$$I_{\min} = \frac{V_o}{L_n} T_{off} = \frac{V_o}{L_n} (1 - \alpha) T$$
(4)

where  $V_o$  is the sum of all the lower cell voltages connected with respective inductor when n=1.

Otherwise,  $V_o$  is the sum of all the upper cell voltages connected with respective inductor when n = 2, 3, 4. Here, *n* is shows the cell and inductor sequence.

Here, the duty ratio,  $\alpha$  is

$$\alpha = \frac{ON \ Time}{Total \ Time} = \frac{T_{on}}{T} \tag{5}$$

Therefore, the parameters, T and L can be determined by using above equation with the selection of average inductor current. Therefore, the parameter can be deduced from above Eqns. (1), (2) and (3) as  $T = 100 \ \mu s$  and  $L = 330 \ \mu H$  for the average inductor current,  $I_{avg} = 8A$ .

To achieve the equalisation in CCM, the current sensed from the inductor is controlled using PI controller to generate the duty ratio for PWM to control the corresponding MOSFET switch. The block diagram of the balancing current under continuous current mode is as shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. Continuous current mode.

#### 4. SIMULATION RESULT

# 4.1 Multi Inductor-based Balancing Circuit for Battery

The battery consists of four Li–ion cells. Matlabsimulink generic cell model with 3.7 V nominal voltage and 75 Ah capacity is considered for simulation. Table 2 shows the initial SOC of the cells. 8 A balancing current is considered for the balancing circuit simulation.

Table 2	Initial	SOC	of	cells	in	battery
---------	---------	-----	----	-------	----	---------

Cell no.	Assumed initial SOC
Cell1	60.001
Cell2	60.003
Cell3	60.002
Cell4	60.004

The multi inductor balancing circuit is simulated in three different modes: a) static mode, b) charging mode, and c) discharging mode.

### (a) Static Mode

In this mode, the battery is neither connected to the charging source nor the load. Whenever SOC imbalance occurs due to temperature or manufacturing mismatch then balancing circuit will be activated. It can be inferred from Fig. 5 that balancing is achieved within 1.48 s for assumed initial SOC as shown in Table 2.



Figure 5. Cell equalisation during static modes.

#### (b) Charging mode

In this mode, the battery is connected to 0.5 C rate constant current source to charge the battery with balancing. It can be inferred from Fig. 6 that the SOC of individual cells are balanced within 1.48 s.

#### (c) Discharging mode

In this mode, the battery is connected to 0.5 C rate constant current source to charge the battery with balancing. It can be inferred from Fig. 7 that the SOC of individual cells are balanced within 1.48 s and all cells of discharge at approximately the same rate.



Figure 6. Cell equalisation during charging modes.

As per above simulation result of Multi inductor based equaliser, it is also found that the equalisation time also depends on SOC distribution as summarised in Table 3 in static condition. The minimum equalisastion time of 1.48 s is achieved for cell arrangement No. 3 and maximum equalisation time of 4.5 s is achieved for cell arrangement no.10.

Table 3. Initial SOC of cells in battery

no.timebalancin1 $60.001$ $60.002$ $60.003$ $60.004$ $1.61$ $60.0022$ 2 $60.001$ $60.002$ $60.004$ $60.003$ $1.55$ $60.0022$ 3 $60.001$ $60.003$ $60.002$ $60.004$ $1.48$ $60.0022$ 4 $60.001$ $60.003$ $60.004$ $60.002$ $2.2$ $60.0022$ 5 $60.001$ $60.004$ $60.002$ $60.003$ $1.6$ $60.0022$ 6 $60.001$ $60.004$ $60.003$ $60.002$ $2.1$ $60.0022$ 7 $60.002$ $60.001$ $60.003$ $60.004$ $1.85$ $60.0022$ 8 $60.002$ $60.001$ $60.004$ $60.003$ $1.78$ $60.0023$ 9 $60.002$ $60.003$ $60.004$ $60.004$ $2.9$ $60.002$ 10 $60.002$ $60.003$ $60.004$ $60.001$ $4.5$ $60.0018$ 11 $60.002$ $60.004$ $60.001$ $60.003$ $3.4$ $60.002$	2 2 2 2 2 2
2         60.001         60.002         60.004         60.003         1.55         60.0022           3         60.001         60.003         60.002         60.004         1.48         60.0022           4         60.001         60.003         60.002         60.004         1.48         60.0022           5         60.001         60.003         60.002         60.003         1.6         60.0022           6         60.001         60.004         60.002         60.003         1.6         60.0022           6         60.001         60.004         60.003         60.002         2.1         60.0022           7         60.002         60.001         60.003         60.004         1.85         60.0022           8         60.002         60.001         60.004         60.003         1.78         60.0023           9         60.002         60.003         60.004         2.9         60.002           10         60.002         60.003         60.004         60.001         4.5         60.0018	2 2 2 2
3         60.001         60.003         60.002         60.004         1.48         60.0022           4         60.001         60.003         60.004         60.002         2.2         60.0022           5         60.001         60.004         60.002         60.003         1.6         60.0022           6         60.001         60.004         60.003         60.002         2.1         60.0022           7         60.002         60.001         60.003         60.004         1.85         60.0022           8         60.002         60.001         60.004         60.003         1.78         60.0023           9         60.002         60.003         60.004         2.9         60.002           10         60.002         60.003         60.001         4.5         60.0018	2 2 2
460.00160.00360.00460.0022.260.0022560.00160.00460.00260.0031.660.0022660.00160.00460.00360.0022.160.0022760.00260.00160.00360.0041.8560.0022860.00260.00160.00460.0031.7860.0023960.00260.00360.00160.0042.960.0021060.00260.00360.00460.0014.560.0018	2
5       60.001       60.004       60.002       60.003       1.6       60.0022         6       60.001       60.004       60.003       60.002       2.1       60.0022         7       60.002       60.001       60.003       60.004       1.85       60.0022         8       60.002       60.001       60.004       60.003       1.78       60.0023         9       60.002       60.003       60.001       60.004       2.9       60.002         10       60.002       60.003       60.004       4.5       60.0018	2
660.00160.00460.00360.0022.160.002760.00260.00160.00360.0041.8560.0022860.00260.00160.00460.0031.7860.0023960.00260.00360.00160.0042.960.0021060.00260.00360.00460.0014.560.0018	
7         60.002         60.001         60.003         60.004         1.85         60.0022           8         60.002         60.001         60.004         60.003         1.78         60.0023           9         60.002         60.003         60.001         60.004         2.9         60.002           10         60.002         60.003         60.004         60.001         4.5         60.0018	2
8         60.002         60.001         60.004         60.003         1.78         60.0023           9         60.002         60.003         60.001         60.004         2.9         60.002           10         60.002         60.003         60.004         60.001         4.5         60.0018	
9         60.002         60.003         60.001         60.004         2.9         60.002           10         60.002         60.003         60.004         60.001         4.5         60.0018	2
10 60.002 60.003 60.004 60.001 4.5 60.0018	3
11 60.002 60.004 60.001 60.003 3.4 60.002	3
12 60.002 60.004 60.003 60.001 4.4 60.0018	3
13 60.003 60.001 60.002 60.004 1.95 60.0022	2
14 60.003 60.001 60.004 60.002 1.76 60.0022	2
15 60.003 60.002 60.001 60.004 2.45 60.0022	2
16 60.003 60.002 60.004 60.001 4.1 60.0018	3
17 60.003 60.004 60.001 60.002 3.45 60.002	
18 60.003 60.004 60.002 60.001 3.9 60.0019	)
19 60.004 60.001 60.002 60.003 1.95 60.0022	2
20 60.004 60.001 60.003 60.002 1.85 60.0022	2
21 60.004 60.002 60.001 60.003 2.46 60.0021	l
22 60.004 60.002 60.003 60.001 3.5 60.002	
23 60.004 60.003 60.001 60.002 2.9 60.002	
24 60.004 60.003 60.002 60.001 3.44 60.002	



Figure 7. Cell equalisation during discharging modes.

# 5. BATTERY PACK BALANCING FOR MILD HYBRID ELECTRIC VEHICLE-48V

Considering the latest innovation on components, standardisation, protection strategies, design and architectures related to 48 V power supply systems for mild hybrid electric vehicles, battery balancing for the same is modeled. The

proposed battery pack consists of 4 batteries and each battery comprises of 4 cells. The total rated voltage of the battery module is approximately 57 V and rated capacity is 75 Ah. The battery module equaliser uses modular topology for balancing both individual cells and the batteries. The balancing current is controlled using current sensor feedback and PI controller based PWM techniques. The switching action in balancing circuit is controlled by sensing the SOC of cell or battery of respective SOC based controller.

The automatic battery pack equalizer consists of the following subsystems as shown in Fig. 9.

1. Battery pack with balancing circuit comprising of

• Batteries-[Battery, Battery, Battery, Battery],

• Switches- $[M_a, M_b, M_c, M_d]$ ,

- Inductors- $[L_a, L_b, L_c, L_d]$
- Diodes  $-[D_a, D_b, D_c, D_d]$
- 2. Battery balancing current controller
- 3. Cell balancing current controller
- 4. SOC based switch controller

The individual battery subsystem [*Battery*, *Battery*, *Battery*, *Battery*, and *Battery*, consists of the following as shown in Fig. 10.

- 1. Cells with balancing circuit comprising of :
- Cell- $[C_{p}, C_{y}, C_{y}, C4]$ ,
- Switches- $[M_{\nu}, M_{\gamma}, M_{\gamma}, M_{\lambda}]$ ,
- Inductors- $[L_p, L_2, L_3, L_4]$
- Diodes  $-[D_p, D_2, D_3, D_4]$
- 2. SOC based switch controller

Battery pack is an array of batteries connected in series or parallel to increase the nominal voltage and capacity. Each battery has 4-cell which is connected with



Figure 8. Schematic diagram battery pack.

multi inductor based balancing circuit and its controller to achieve the balancing between cells. The internal diagram of battery model is as shown in Fig. 10

# 6. SIMULATION RESULT

# 6.1 Multi Inductor-based Balancing Circuit for Battery Pack

The battery pack modelled in matlab-simulink is as shown Fig. 9, 14 V nominal voltage and 75 Ah capacity of each Li-ion battery is to be considered for simulation. Table 4 shows the change in initial SOC of battery cell and battery. 8 A balancing current is considered for the balancing circuit simulation.

The multi inductor balancing circuit for battery pack is simulated in three different modes: a) static mode, b) charging mode, and c) discharging mode.

# (a) Static Mode

Within the battery pack when the battery converges 500  $\mu$  SOC difference then battery balancing is stopped by its controller and when the cell within the battery converges to 50  $\mu$  SOC difference then cell balancing is stopped by its controller.

Battery No.	SOC	Cell No.	SOC
		Cell1	60.001
Dattamy1	60.0025	Cell2	60.003
Battery1	00.0023	Cell3	60.002
		Cell4	60.004
Battery2	60.0105	Cell5	60.009
		Cell6	60.011
		Cell7	60.010
		Cell8	60.012
Battery3	60.0065	Cell9	60.005
		Cell10	60.007
		Cell11	60.006
		Cell12	60.008
Battery4	60.145	Cell13	60.013
		Cell14	60.015
		Cell15	60.014
		Cell16	60.016

#### (b) Charging Mode

From Fig. 12, it is inferred that, the battery SOC converges to the 500  $\mu$  SOC difference within 5 s and cell SOC converges to the 50  $\mu$  SOC difference within 2 s for the assumed initial SOC during the charging mode.

## (c) Discharging Mode

From Fig. 13, it is inferred that, the battery pack SOC converges within 5 s and individual cell SOC converges within 2 s for the assumed initial SOC during the discharging mode.



Figure 9 Dynamic battery pack balancing circuit model.



Figure 10. Internal diagram of battery model.



Figure 11. Battery pack balancing during static mode.



Figure 12. Battery pack balancing during charging mode.



Figure 13. Battery module equalisation during discharging mode.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper multi-inductor based automatic battery module balancing circuit with SOC based switch logic controller is modelled and analysed and results are simulated in MATLAB. It is observed that once the cells of battery pack is balanced then all cells and the battery pack will have same SOC during charging, discharging and steady state modes.

## 8. FUTURE WORK

The developed model is to be simulated in Hardware-inloop Simulator to analyse the dynamic characteristics. Further, it is proposed to develop an Intelligent Battery Management system incorporating temperature monitoring and charging circuits. Also, the cooling system issue for battery pack is to be analysed using FEM tool.

# REFERENCES

- Vardhan, Rigvendra Kumar; Selvathai, T.; Reginald, Rajaseeli; Sivakumar, P. & Sundaresh, S. Modeling of single inductor based battery balancing circuit for hybrid electric vehicles. *In* IECON 2017 - 43rd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, 2017, pp. 2293-2298. doi: 10.1109/IECON.2017.8216386
- 2. Bentley, W.F. Cell balancing considerations for lithiumion battery systems. *In* 12th Annual Battery Conference on Applicationsand Advances, 1997, pp. 223-226. doi: 10.3390/en7052897
- 3. Daowd, Mohamed; Omar, Noshin; Bossche, Peter Van Den & Mierlo, Joeri Van. Passive and active battery balancing comparison based on MATLAB simulation. *In* 7th IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, VPPC'11, 2011.

doi: 10.3390/en5082952

 Kutkut, N.H. & Divan, D.M. Dynamic equalization techniques for series battery stacks. *In* IEEE Telecommunications Energy Conference, INTELEC '96, 1996, pp. 514-521. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2016.11.277

- Lozano, J.G. & Cadaval, E.R. Battery equalization active methods. J. Power Sources, 2014, 246, 934–949. doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2016.11.277
- Daowd, M.; Omar, N.; Bossche, P.V.D. & Mierlo, J.V. A review of passive and active battery balancing based on Matlab/Simulink. *Int. Rev. Electr. Eng.* 2011, 6, 2974– 2989.

doi: 10.20965/jaciii.2016.p0968

- West, S. & Krein, P.T. Switched-capacitor systems for battery equalization. IEEE Modern Techniques and Technology (MTT 2000). Proceedings of the VI International Scientific and Practical. 2000, pp. 57–59.
- Pascual, C. & Krein, P.T. Switched capacitor system for automatic series battery equalization. *In* Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC) Conference Proceedings, 1997, 2, pp. 848-854. doi: 10.18618/REP.2015.2.160171
- Phung, T.H.; Crebier, J.C.; Chureau, A.; Collet, A. & Nguyen, N.T. Van. Optimized structure for next-to-next balancing of series-connected lithium-ion cells. *In* 26th Annual IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), 2011, pp. 1374-1381.
- Sang-Hyun, P.; Tae-Sung, K.; Jin-Sik, P.; Gun-Woo, M. & Myung-Joong, Y. A new battery equalizer based on buckboost topology. *In* IEEE 7th International Conference on Power Electronics, 2007, pp. 962-965.
- Moo, C.S.; Hsieh, Y.C.; Tsai, I.S. & Cheng, J.C. Dynamic charge equalisation for series-connected batteries. *In* IEEE Proceedings Electric Power Applications, 2003, 150(5), 501-505.
- 12. Hanxin, S.; Wenlong, Z. & Chen, W. Charge equalization using multiple winding magnetic model for lithium-ion battery string. *In* Proceedings APPEEC, 2010, pp. 1–4
- Park, S.-H.; Park, K.-B.; Kim, H.-S.; Moon, G.-W. & Youn, M.-J. Cell-to cell charge equalization converter using multi-winding transformer with reduced number of windings. *In* Proceedings IEEE 8th ICPE ECCE, 2011, pp. 285–290
- 14. Kutkut, N.H.; Divan, D.M. &Novotny, D.W. Charge

equalization for series connected battery strings. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, 1995, **31**(3), 562–568.

 Divan, D.M.; Kutkut, N.H.; Novotny, D.W. & Wiegman, H. L. Battery charging using a transformer with a single primary winding and plural secondary windings. U.S. Patent 5 659 237, Aug. 19, 1997.

### **CONTRIBUTORS**

**Mr Rigvendra Kumar Vardhan** has completed MTech (Electronics and Communication Engineering) from Government College of Engineering, Pondicherry. Presently working as a Research Associate atDRDO-Combat Vehicles Research & Development Establishment, Chennai. He was working in the study and MATLAB simulation of various cell balancing techniques for hybrid electric vehicles.

In this current study he had conceived the concept and implemented the MATLAB code.

**Ms T. Selvathai** has completed her BTech (Electronics and Communication Engineering) from MG University, Kerala. Presently she is working as Scientist E at DRDO-Combat Vehicles Research & Development Establishment, Chennai and her major contributions include: Development of autonomous ground tracked vehicles, algorithms for complimentary fusion of electro-optic and infrared videos for driver's enhanced vision. She is core member for hybrid power pack team and is responsible for the electrical subsystems.

In the current study, she has contributed towards the simulation studies

**Ms Rajaseeli Reginald**, received her BE(ECE) from Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai and MTech (Communication systems) from IIT Madras. Currently working as a Scientist 'F', and team leader of Hybrid Powerpack at Combat Vehicles Research & Development Establishment, Chennai. She is specialised in Automatic transmission controller, in-vehicle networking and virtual instrumentation. Her areas of interest include : Hybrid electric vehicles, in-vehicle networking and real time embedded systems. She received National Science Day Oration-2017 and Laboratory Technology Group Award -2015.

**Dr P. Sivakumar** has completed his PhD (Machine Design) from IIT, Madras, in 2011. He retired as Distinguished Scientist and Director, DRDO-Combat Vehicles Research & Development Establishment, Chennai. His research areas include design and development of AFV automatic transmission in the range of 150-1500 hp, combat aircraft transmission, conceptualisation of configuration for main battle tanks both present and future, infantry combat vehicles, armoured repair and recovery vehicles, self-propelled catapult vehicles, carrier command post and unmanned ground vehicles.

His contribution in the current study is overall guidance during the work and conclusion through results.