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ABSTRACT

Seafarers are exposed to intense noise due to running of powerful gas turbines present in the engine room and in other compartments due to the operation of various sub systems that adversely affect their hearing acuity and proficiency. A large body of persons is employed in the shipping industry and it is of paramount importance to preserve and promote health in the work place. Hence, this particular study was undertaken with a view to precisely quantify the noise levels associated with the operation of turbine engines and examine the impact of exposure on hearing sensitivity of the individuals. The preventive measures that can control the adverse effects like the use of ear defenders, and, supplementation of carbogen, a mixture of 5 per cent CO₂ and 95 per cent O₂ were examined.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Exposure to intense noise is known to cause damage to the hearing apparatus of man and it also implicates various non-auditory systems of the body through the secondary pathways1-11. In the defence and civil sectors, different types of machines, equipment, systems and processes, while in operation give rise to an environment full of noise. Majority of the work force deputed for the operation and maintenance of these machineries and systems are exposed to this environment repeatedly for several years and sustain hearing loss. Scientists from several countries have worked out the cause and effect relationship and formulated the damage risk criteria (DRC) with the objective to provide safety guidelines for continuous and impulsive noise12-19. This has also led to the determination of safe limits. As per the recommendations, continuous noise at work places should not exceed 90 dB ‘A’ for 8-hour work schedule if the work force is to be protected from adverse effects20. Protective measures have been recommended to protect the hearing sensitivity of individuals from the damaging effects of noise caused by intense exposures may even lead to the loss of hair cells. Reduced O₂ supply as a result of vasoconstriction may be a basic mechanism for temporary threshold shift (TTS) induced by noise27-35. On the preventive aspect, recent studies in this Institute have shown that inhaling a mixture of 5 per cent CO₂ and 95 per cent O₂, known as Carbogen, for a brief period of 5 min prevents the loss of hair cell sensitivity and thus it acts as a protective measure against the development of temporary threshold shift due to noise exposure and protects the hearing from permanent damage over time36,37.

This study was undertaken with the objective to assess the potential of noise hazard to the ship crew and also the protective effect of inhalation of Carbogen for 5 min before and after the daily work schedule in the engine room and other compartments.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, 37 healthy naval personnel aged between 20 years to 34 years participated as subjects. They were furnished with the details of the study and their informed written consents taken prior to the commencement of the study that was duly reviewed and approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the Institute (Defence Institute of Physiology and Allied Sciences, Delhi, India). The participants were randomly divided into two groups. One group was administered the Carbogen mixture and termed experimental group (n=20), while the other group served as controls, not receiving Carbogen (n=17). The general details of the participants are briefly summarised in Table 1. The subjects did not use any ear defenders during the course of the study.

In a separate study, the hearing thresholds of a group of sailors comprising of 45 engine room crew and 11 non-engine room personnel was conducted in a quiet room near the harbour for assessing the effect of ship noise on the hearing acuity of the workers.

The sound pressure levels (SPL) and frequency spectrum of noise in the engine rooms and other compartments of the ships were monitored with the help of B & K Type 2230 Precision Integrated Sound Level Meter in conjunction with Type 1625 octave band filter, and, CEL Sound Level Analyser Type 573. The calibration checks were carried out daily before and after use of the instruments.

Two carbogen breathing systems were placed very close to the work place of the subjects. The audiometric evaluation of hearing status of the subjects was carried out using Grason Stradler GSI 61 Audiometer before their exposure to engine room noise and also before their grouping as experimental and control subjects. The pre and post exposure audiometry was carried out in the medical room of the ship. Two experiments lasting for 8 days and 16 days, respectively were conducted using these positive points. As per the scheduled programme, the experimental subjects inhaled the gas for a period of 5 min prior to entering the engine room for their duty. Simultaneously, one individual from the control group also resumed his duty in the engine room. This practice of administering of Carbogen and inducting individuals from both groups was followed for 8 days and 16 days, respectively during the period of sailing of the ships. The post exposure audiometry was carried out at the end of 8 days and 16 days of exposure.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Volunteers from all groups were alike with regard to physical characteristics, age, height, weight and BMI with similar lifestyle. General details of participants are briefly summarised in Table 1.

The mean RMS sound pressure levels (dB) at different locations in the engine room in a stationary and sailing condition are presented in Table 2. As can be seen the SPL when the ships were stationary in harbour ranged from 81 dB - 89 dB in the forward and AFT engine room. During the sailing of the ships, the noise level increased substantially and ranged from 97.1 dB to 113.9 dB. Going from stationary to sailing condition causes sound pressure levels to increase from acceptable to highly damaging as per the DRCs. Based on the earlier noise measurements, ear defenders were recommended as a precautionary measure but on account of their limitation in attenuating sound as well as irritability and pain in auditory meatus during prolonged use6, their use has not been found adequate. On account of the above limitations, these ear defenders have not found widespread acceptability/popularity by the engine room crew as per our current observations.

The SPL in the different compartments the ships, recorded in stationary and sailing condition are depicted in Table 3. The noise levels in the Sailor’s cabin, Officers’ cabin, Officers ward room as well as operation room and other compartments ranged between 74.8 dB – 88.4 dB. These were within the safe exposure limit. During sailing, there was a substantial increase in the noise level in the AFT steering port (108 dB) with 24 dB increase, followed by MCR Table, operation and bridge rooms, where the sound pressure level increased by 8 dB to 14 dB. As seen, most of the values were within the safe limits when the ship was in the stationary phase. However, safe limits of exposure were exceeded in most of the compartments in sailing.

Frequency spectrum of noise in the compartments and engine room with engines

Table 1. General characteristics of volunteers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Body weight (Kg)</th>
<th>BMI (Kg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 days exposure</td>
<td>Noise (Control) (n=9)</td>
<td>26.10±5.67</td>
<td>1.69±3.53</td>
<td>65.40±7.73</td>
<td>22.80±2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbogen (Experimental group) (n=10)</td>
<td>28.20±3.46</td>
<td>1.67±3.83</td>
<td>61.40±9.07</td>
<td>21.97±2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 days exposure</td>
<td>Noise (Control) (n=8)</td>
<td>22.44±1.89</td>
<td>1.67±2.39</td>
<td>63.90±3.92</td>
<td>22.40±1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbogen (Experimental group) (n=10)</td>
<td>22.42±2.07</td>
<td>1.67±3.26</td>
<td>63.10±8.06</td>
<td>22.10±2.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plus or minus values are mean±SD.
running while in harbour or during sailing are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. While in harbour, the noise produced presented uniform level at different center frequencies starting from 20 Hz to 20 kHz in the commander’s cabin. As seen from the figure, the sound pressure levels at the band of frequencies varied from 81.0 dB to 88.0 dB with a gradual decline towards higher frequencies starting from 6 kHz. The linear sound pressure level was 102 dB. During sailing, the noise level increased to higher magnitude ranging from 98 dB to 108 dB in the AFT engine room and from 88 dB to 104 dB in the forward engine room. The spectrum showed uniformity up to 5 kHz and thereafter a slight decline in sound pressure level. The noise level at each frequency in the forward engine room was higher than 100 dB while in the AFT engine room it was higher than 95 dB from 0.04 kHz – 5.0 kHz. The noise level is attenuated in the lower as well as higher frequencies in the forward engine room due to barriers.

Table 3. Sound pressure level (dB) in the different compartments of Indian Naval Ships

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location/Compartment</th>
<th>Stationary (In harbour)</th>
<th>During sailing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jr. Sailors cabin</td>
<td>79.7 (76.4 ± 3.16)</td>
<td>85.7 (84.7 ± 1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr. Sailors cabin</td>
<td>88.4 (84.75 ± 5.16)</td>
<td>88.5 (86.35 ± 3.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers cabin</td>
<td>81.5 (81.3 ± 0.28)</td>
<td>85.3 (83.9 ± 1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers ward room</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain cabin</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation room</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.C.R. Table</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFT steering port</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>108.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. One third octave band frequency spectrum in Commander’s cabin.

Figure 2. Frequency spectrum in the engine room of a ship during sailing.
Table 4 presents the audiometric tests conducted on the engine and non-engine room crew. On the basis of the hearing levels, the subjects were categorised as those having normal hearing (hearing level up to 25 dB), mild loss of hearing (hearing level between 26 dB - 40 dB), moderate hearing impairment (hearing level between 41 dB - 60 dB). Hearing levels higher than 60 dB were put as severe hearing impairment.

As seen from the Table 4, 73 per cent non-engine room crew presented normal hearing as against 24 per cent seen in the engine room crew. There were no incidences of moderate and severe hearing losses in the non-engine room crew.

The frequency wise distribution of hearing losses among the ship crew indicated that the most affected frequencies were 4 kHz, 6 kHz, and 8 kHz. Hearing losses in the lower frequencies were not seen in the non-engine room crew, but many of the engine room crew presented hearing impairments in the lower frequencies below 1 kHz indicative of their prolonged repeated exposure to brief but intense noise affecting speech frequency range also.

Figure 3 presents the mean hearing level before and after 8 days exposure to noise to the control as well as experimental groups of subjects. The baseline audiograms indicated higher hearing threshold at lower frequencies up to 750 Hz and the higher sensitivity and lower thresholds were recorded between 1.0 kHz to 4.0 kHz. The mean hearing level again increased to 22.5 dB at 6 kHz. Post exposure audiometry indicated elevated threshold of hearing ranging from 25 dB to over 30 dB, due to exposure to noise. The differences between pre and post audiograms were of almost equal magnitude between 2.0 kHz to 8.0 kHz. The average temporary threshold shift was 10 dB or higher beyond 2 kHz. Towards lower frequencies, the level of temporary threshold shift declined possibly due to the fact that lower audiometric frequencies are not much affected by noise exposure as in the case of higher frequencies.

A temporary threshold shift of 10 dB produced due to noise may not recover back to normal during the rest period and the residual temporary threshold shift over time may change into permanent threshold shift.

The group that received Carbogen as shown in Fig. 3(b) did not show any concernable difference between the pre and post exposure audiograms during the 8 days experimental duration.

The temporary threshold shift that developed during the 16 day exposure as shown in Fig. 4 in the control group followed almost the same course as seen in Fig. 3, i.e. temporary threshold shift of the order of 3.5 dB to approximately 10 dB developed between 1.0 kHz to 4.0 kHz. These differences were found to be significant (p < 0.01 and p < 0.001). In the Carbogen group of subjects as shown in Fig. 4(b), there was practically no temporary threshold shift development except at 2 kHz, where 2 dB difference was recorded. These results are in line with the findings of our laboratory experiments and also the studies conducted in the industrial environment of the army.

From Figs. 3 and 4, it can be conjectured that Carbogen inhalation before exposure to noise prevents the development of TTS and therefore it also controls the noise induced permanent threshold shift (NIPTS) which may set in over time.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The prevalence of high level of noise in the engine room and other compartments of naval ships ranging from 97.1 dB -
113.9 dB ‘A’, 82.2 dB and 95.6 dB ‘A’, respectively are higher as compared to the upper safe limit of 90 dB for 8 h exposure a day and exposures without hearing protection constitute a definite auditory risk.

Inhaling Carbogen (5 % CO2 and 95 % O2) by the engine crew for 5 min before occupational noise exposures during 8 days and 16 days of sailing of the ships indicated that carbogen renders almost complete protection from the development of hearing loss because the temporary threshold shift development due to prolonged exposures is almost negligible.
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